

Revised Effective Ionic Radii and Systematic Studies of Interatomic Distances in Halides and Chalcogenides

BY R. D. SHANNON

Central Research and Development Department, Experimental Station, E. I. Du Pont de Nemours and Company, Wilmington, Delaware 19898, U.S.A.

(Received 30 October 1975; accepted 9 March 1976)

The effective ionic radii of Shannon & Prewitt [*Acta Cryst.* (1969), B25, 925–945] are revised to include more unusual oxidation states and coordinations. Revisions are based on new structural data, empirical bond strength–bond length relationships, and plots of (1) radii *vs* volume, (2) radii *vs* coordination number, and (3) radii *vs* oxidation state. Factors which affect radii additivity are polyhedral distortion, partial occupancy of cation sites, covalence, and metallic character. Mean $\text{Nb}^{5+}\text{--O}$ and $\text{Mo}^{6+}\text{--O}$ octahedral distances are linearly dependent on distortion. A decrease in cation occupancy increases mean $\text{Li}^+\text{--O}$, $\text{Na}^+\text{--O}$, and $\text{Ag}^+\text{--O}$ distances in a predictable manner. Covalence strongly shortens $\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{--X}$, $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{--X}$, $\text{Ni}^{2+}\text{--X}$, $\text{Mn}^{2+}\text{--X}$, $\text{Cu}^+\text{--X}$, $\text{Ag}^+\text{--X}$, and M--H^- bonds as the electronegativity of X or M decreases. Smaller effects are seen for $\text{Zn}^{2+}\text{--X}$, $\text{Cd}^{2+}\text{--X}$, $\text{In}^{3+}\text{--X}$, $\text{Pb}^{2+}\text{--X}$, and $\text{Tl}^+\text{--X}$. Bonds with delocalized electrons and therefore metallic character, *e.g.* Sm--S , V--S , and Re--O , are significantly shorter than similar bonds with localized electrons.

Introduction

A thorough and systematic knowledge of the relative sizes of ions in halides and chalcogenides is rapidly being developed by crystal chemists as a result of (1) extensive synthesis within certain structure types, *e.g.* rocksalt, spinel, perovskite and pyrochlore; (2) preparation of new compounds with unusual oxidation states and coordination numbers; and (3) the abundance of accurate crystal structure refinements of halides, chalcogenides, and molecular inorganic compounds. A set of effective ionic radii which showed a number of systematic trends with valence, electronic spin state, and coordination was recently developed (Shannon & Prewitt, 1969, hereafter referred to as SP 69). This work has since been supplemented and improved by studies of certain groups of ions: rare earth and actinide ions (Peterson & Cunningham, 1967, 1968); tetrahedral oxyanions (Kálmán, 1971); tetravalent ions in perovskites (Fukunaga & Fujita, 1973); rare earth ions (Greis & Petzel, 1974); and tetravalent cations (Knop & Carlow, 1974).

Further, the relative sizes of certain ions or ion pairs were studied by Khan & Baur (1972): NH_4^+ ; Ribbe & Gibbs (1971): OH^- ; Wolfe & Newnham (1969): $\text{Bi}^{3+}\text{--La}^{3+}$; McCarthy (1971): $\text{Eu}^{2+}\text{--Sr}^{2+}$; Silva, McDowell, Keller & Tarrant (1974): No^{2+} . These authors' results have been incorporated here into a comprehensive modification of the Shannon–Prewitt radii.

In this paper the revised list of effective ionic radii, along with the relations between radii, coordination number, and valence is presented. The factors responsible for the deviation of radii sums from additivity such as polyhedral distortion, partial occupancy of cation sites, covalence, and metallic behavior (electron delocalization) will be discussed.

Procedure

The same basic methods used in SP 69 were employed in preparing the revised list of effective ionic radii (Table 1). Some of the same assumptions were made:

(1) Additivity of both cation and anion radii to reproduce interatomic distances is valid if one considers coordination number (CN), electronic spin, covalency, repulsive forces, and polyhedral distortion.*

(2) With these limitations, radii are independent of structure type.

(3) Both cation and anion radii vary with coordination number.

(4) With a constant anion, unit-cell volumes of isostructural series are proportional (but not necessarily linearly) to the cation volumes.

Other assumptions made in SP 69 have been modified:

(1) The effects of covalency on the shortening of M--F and M--O bonds are *not* comparable.

(2) Average interatomic distances in similar polyhedra in one structure are *not* constant but vary in a predictable way with the degree of polyhedral distortion (and anion CN). Both of these modified assumptions will be discussed in detail later.

The anion radii used in SP 69 were subtracted from available average distances. Approximately 900 distances from oxide and fluoride structures were used, and Table 2 lists their references according to CN and spin. These references generally cover from 1969 to 1975. The cation radii were derived to a first approximation from these distances, and then adjusted to be consistent with both the experimental interatomic distances and radii–unit cell volume (r^3 *vs* V) plots, as in

* Polyhedral distortion was not considered in SP 69.

SP 69. Although such r^3 vs V plots are not always linear (Shannon, 1975), their regular curvilinear nature still allows prediction of radii. This system is particularly accurate for radii in the middle of a series, and least reliable for large polarizable cations like Cs^+ , Ba^{2+} , and Tl^{3+} . Radii-volume plots were used by Knop & Carlow (1974) and Fukunaga & Fujita (1973) to derive radii of tetravalent cations. These radii were used along with experimental interatomic distances in deriving the final radii. Greis & Petzel (1974) derived rare earth radii in eight- and nine-coordination using accurate cell dimensions for rare earth trifluorides and distances calculated using the structural parameters of YF_3 and LaF_3 . These radii were used in Table 1 after applying small corrections (+0.030 Å to IXLa^{3+} , IXCe^{3+} , IXPr^{3+} , and IXNd^{3+} ; +0.025 Å to all other Greis & Petzel IXRE^{3+} radii, and 0.015 Å to all

VIIIRe^{3+} radii) for consistency with experimental interatomic distances and radii-CN plots.

Where structural data were not available or not accurate, plots of (1) radii vs unit cell volumes, (2) radii vs CN and (3) radii vs oxidation state, or combinations of these were used to obtain estimated values. Fig. 1 shows examples of radii-valence plots used to provide consistency between experimental radii and those anticipated from the regular nature of these plots. Cations whose final radii values were derived from both estimated values and experimental interatomic distances are: VIOS^{3+} , VIOS^{6+} , VIOS^{7+} , VIRe^{4+} , VIRe^{5+} , VIRe^{6+} , VIRe^{7+} , VIIRh^{4+} , VIIU^{4+} , VIIU^{5+} , and VIIU^{6+} .

Fig. 2(a)–(e) shows plots of radii vs CN. Generally, it was assumed that radii-CN plots for two different ions do not cross. Radii for IVCu^+ , VICu^+ , IXRb^+ , VNI^{2+} , VIIIEr^{3+} , VIYYb^{3+} , VIITb^{3+} , XIINd^{3+} , IVCr^{4+} ,

Table 1. *Effective ionic radii*

CR crystal radius, IR effective ionic radius, R from r^3 vs V plots, C calculated, E estimated, ? doubtful, * most reliable, M from metallic oxides.

ION	EC	CN	SP	CR	IR*	ION	EC	CN	SP	CR	IR*	ION	EC	CN	SP	CR	IR*
AC+3 6P 6 VI			1.26	1.12	R	CL-1 3P 6 VI			1.67	1.81	P	GD+3 4F 7 VII			1.14	1.00	
AG+1 4D10 II			.81	.67		CL+5 3S 2 IIIPY			.26	.12					1.193	1.053	R
IV			1.14	1.00	C	CL+7 2P 6 IV			.22	.08	*	GE+2 4S 2 VI			1.247	1.107	RC
IVSQ			1.16	1.02		VI			.41	.27	A	GE+4 3D10 IV			.87	.73	A
V			1.23	1.09	C	CM+3 5F 7 VI			1.11	.97	R	VI			.330	.390	*
VI			1.29	1.15	C	CM+4 5F 6 VI			.99	.85	R	VI			.670	.530	R*
VII			1.36	1.22		VIII			1.09	.95	R	H +1 1S 0 I			-.24	-.38	
VIII			1.42	1.28		CD+2 3D 7 IV			.72	.58		II			-.04	-.18	
AG+2 4D 9 IVSQ			.93	.79	*	V			.81	.67	C	IVSQ			.72	.58	R
VI			1.08	.94		VI			.79	.65	R	VI			.85	.71	R
AG+3 4D 8 IVSQ			.81	.67		HS			.885	.745	R*	VII			.90	.76	
VI			.89	.75	R	LS			1.04	.90		VII			.97	.83	
AL+3 2P 6 IV			.53	.39	*	CD+3 3D 6 VI			.685	.565	R*	HG+1 6S 1 III			1.11	.97	
V			.62	.48		HS			.75	.61		VI			1.33	1.19	
VI			.675	.535	R*	CD+4 3D 5 IV			.54	.40		HG+2 5D10 II			.83	.69	
AM+2 5F 7 VII			1.35	1.21		HS			.67	.53	R	VI			1.10	.96	
VIII			1.40	1.26		LS			.87	.73	E	VI			1.16	1.02	
IX			1.45	1.31		HS			.94	.80	R	VIII			1.28	1.14	R
AM+3 5F 6 VI			1.115	.975	R	CR+3 3D 3 VI			.755	.615	R*	HO+3 4F10 VI			1.041	.901	R
VIII			1.23	1.09		CR+4 3D 2 IV			.55	.41		VIII			1.155	1.015	R
AM+4 5F 5 VI			.99	.85	R	VI			.69	.55	R	IX			1.212	1.072	R
VIII			1.09	.95		CR+5 3D 1 IV			.485	.345	R	X			1.26	1.12	
AS+3 4S 2 VI			.72	.58	A	VI			.63	.49	ER	I -1 5P 6 VI			2.06	2.20	A
AS+5 3D10 IV			.975	.835	R*	VIII			.71	.57		I +5 5S 2 IIIPY			.58	.44	*
VI			.60	.46	C*	CR+6 3P 6 IV			.40	.26		VI			1.09	.95	
AT+7 5D10 VI			.76	.62	A	VI			.58	.44	C	I +7 4D10 IV			.56	.42	
AU+1 5D10 VI			1.51	1.37	A	CS+1 5P 6 VIII			1.81	1.67		VI			.67	.53	
AU+3 5D 8 IVSQ			.82	.68		IX			1.88	1.74		IN+3 4D10 IV			.76	.62	
VI			.99	.85	A	IX			1.92	1.78		VI			.940	.800	R*
AU+5 5D 6 VI			.71	.57	*	X			1.95	1.81		VIII			1.06	.92	RC
8 +3 1S 2 III			.15	.01	*	XI			1.99	1.85		IR+3 5D 6 VI			.82	.68	E
IV			.25	.11	*	XII			2.02	1.88		IR+4 5D 5 VI			.765	.625	R
VI			.41	.27	C	CU+1 3D10 II			.60	.46		IR+5 5D 4 VI			.71	.57	EM
BA+2 5P 6 VI			1.49	1.35		IV			.74	.60	E	K +1 3P 6 IV			1.51	1.37	
VII			1.52	1.38		VI			.91	.77	E	VI			1.52	1.38	
VIII			1.56	1.42	C	CU+2 3D 9 IV			.71	.57	*	VII			1.60	1.46	
IX			1.61	1.47		IVSQ			.71	.57	*	VIII			1.65	1.51	
X			1.66	1.52		V			.79	.65	*	IX			1.69	1.55	
XI			1.71	1.57		VI			.87	.73		X			1.73	1.59	
XII			1.75	1.61	C	CU+3 3D 8 VI			.68	.54		XI			1.78	1.64	
BE+2 1S 2 III			.30	.16		D +1 1S 0 II			.04	-.10		LA+3 4D10 VI			1.172	1.032	R
IV			.41	.27	*	DY+2 4F10 VI			1.21	1.07		VII			1.24	1.10	
VI			.59	.45	C	VIII			1.27	1.13		VIII			1.300	1.160	R
BI+3 6S 2 VI			1.10	.96	C	VIII			1.33	1.19		IX			1.356	1.216	R
VI			1.17	1.03	R*	UY+3 4F 9 VI			1.052	.912	R	X			1.41	1.27	
VII			1.31	1.17	R	VII			.11	.97		XI			1.50	1.36	C
BI+5 5D10 VI			.90	.76	E	VIII			1.167	1.027	R	LI+1 1S 2 IV			.730	.590	*
BK+3 5F 8 VI			1.10	.96	R	IX			1.223	1.083	R	VI			.90	.76	*
BK+4 5F 7 VI			.97	.83	R	ER+3 4F11 VI			1.030	.890	R	VIII			1.06	.92	C
BR-1 4P 6 VI			1.07	.93	R	VII			1.085	.945		LU+3 4F14 VI			1.001	.861	R
BR-1 4P 6 VI			1.82	1.66	P	VIII			1.144	1.004	R	VIII			1.117	.977	R
BR+3 4P 2 IVSQ			.73	.59		IX			1.202	1.062	R	IX			1.172	1.032	R
BR+5 4S 2 IIIPY			.45	.31		EU+2 4F 7 VI			1.31	1.17		VI			.71	.57	
BR+7 3D10 IV			.39	.25		VII			1.34	1.20	V	V			.80	.66	
VI			.53	.39	A	VIII			1.39	1.25		VI			.860	.720	*
C +4 1S 2 III			.06	-.08	B	IX			1.44	1.30		VIII			1.03	.89	C
IV			.29	.15	P	X			1.49	1.35		HS			.80	.66	
VI			.16	.02	A	EU+3 4F 6 VI			1.087	.947	R	VI			.89	.75	C
CA+2 3P 6 VI			1.14	1.00		VII			1.15	1.01		LS			.81	.67	E
VII			1.20	1.06	*	VIII			1.206	1.066	R	HS			.970	.830	R*
VIII			1.26	1.12	*	IX			1.260	1.120	R	VI			1.04	.90	C
IX			1.32	1.18		F -1 2P 6 VI			1.145	1.005		VIII			1.10	.96	R
X			1.37	1.23	C	III			1.16	1.30		VI			.72	.58	
XII			1.48	1.34	C	IV			1.17	1.31		LS			.72	.58	R
CD+2 4D10 IV			.92	.78		VI			1.19	1.33		HS			.785	.645	R*
V			.87	.73		F +7 1S 2 VI			.22	.08	A	VI			.53	.39	R
VI			1.09	.95		FE+2 3D 6 IV			.77	.63		VI			.670	.530	R*
VII			1.17	1.03	C	IVSQ			.78	.64		HS			.47	.33	R
VIII			1.24	1.10	C	VI			.75	.61	E	MN+6 3D 1 IV			.75	.61	E
IX			1.45	1.31		HS			.920	.780	R*	MN+7 3P 6 IV			.39	.25	
CE+3 6S 1 VI			1.15	1.01	R	VIII			1.06	.92	C	VI			.60	.46	A
VII			1.21	1.07	E	FE+3 3D 5 IV			.63	.49	*	VI			.83	.69	E
VIII			1.283	1.143	R	V			.72	.58		MO+4 4D 2 VI			.790	.650	RM
IX			1.336	1.196	R	VI			.69	.55	R	MO+5 4D 1 IV			.60	.46	R
X			1.39	1.25	C	HS			.785	.645	R*	VI			.75	.61	R
XII			1.48	1.34	C	FE+4 3D 4 VI			.92	.78	C	MO+6 4P 6 IV			.55	.41	R*
CE+4 5P 6 VI			1.01	.87	R	HS			.725	.585	R	VI			.64	.50	
VII			1.11	.97	R	FE+6 3D 2 IV			.39	.25	R	VI			.73	.59	R*
X			1.21	1.07		FR+1 6P 6 VI			1.94	1.80	A	VII			.87	.73	
XII			1.28	1.14		CA+3 3D10 IV			.61	.47	*	N -3 2P 6 VI			1.32	1.18	
CF+3 6D 1 VI			1.09	.95	R	VI			.69	.55		N +3 2S 2 VI			.30	.16	A
CF+4 5F 8 VI			.961	.821	R	VI			.760	.620	R*	N +5 1S 2 III			.044	-.104	A
VIII			1.06	.92		GD+3 4F 7 VI			1.078	.938	R	VI			.27	-.13	A

Table 1 (cont.)

ION	EC	CN	SP	CR	'IR'	ION	EC	CN	SP	CR	'IR'	ION	EC	CN	SP	CR	'IR'
NA+1 2P 6 IV				1.13	.99	PR+3 4F 2 VI				1.13	.99 R	TC+4 4D 3 VI				.785	.645 RM
V				1.14	1.00	VIII				1.266	1.126 R	TC+5 4D 2 VI				.74	.60 ER
VI				1.16	1.02	IX				1.319	1.179 R	TC+7 4P 6 IV				.51	.37
VII				1.26	1.12	PR+4 4F 1 VI				.99	.85 R	TE-2 5P 6 VI				2.07	2.21 P
VIII				1.32	1.18	PT+2 5D 8 IVSQ				1.10	.96 R	TE+4 5S 2 III				.66	.52
IX				1.38	1.24 C	IX				.74	.60	IX				.80	.66
X				1.53	1.39	PT+4 5D 6 VI				.94	.80 A	VI				1.11	.97
NB+3 4D 2 VI				.86	.72	PT+5 5D 5 VI				.765	.625 R	TE+6 4D10 IV				.57	.43 C
NB+4 4D 1 VI				.82	.68 RE	PU+3 5F 5 VI				.71	.57 ER	VI				.70	.56 *
VIII				.93	.79	PU+4 5F 4 VI				1.14	1.00 R	TH+4 6P 6 VI				1.08	.94 C
NB+5 4P 6 VI				.62	.48 C	IX				1.00	.86 R	VIII				1.19	1.05 RC
VI				.78	.64	PU+5 5F 3 VI				1.10	.96	IX				1.23	1.09 *
VII				.83	.69 C	PU+6 5F 2 VI				.88	.74 E	X				1.27	1.13 E
VIII				.88	.74	RA+2 6P 6 VIII				.85	.71 R	XI				1.32	1.18 C
ND+2 4F 4 VIII				1.43	1.29	XII				1.62	1.48 R	XII				1.35	1.21 C
IX				1.49	1.35	RB+1 4P 6 VI				1.84	1.70 R	TI+2 3D 2 VI				1.00	.86 E
ND+3 4F 3 VI				1.123	.983 R	VII				1.70	1.56 E	TI+3 3D 1 VI				.810	.670 RM
VIII				1.249	1.109 R	VIII				1.75	1.61	TI+4 3P 6 IV				.56	.42 C
IX				1.303	1.163 R	IX				1.77	1.63 E	V				.65	.51 C
XII				1.41	1.27 E	X				1.80	1.66	VI				.745	.605 R*
NI+2 3D 8 IV				.69	.55	XI				1.83	1.69	VIII				.88	.74 C
IVSQ				.63	.49	XII				1.86	1.72	TL+1 6S 2 VI				1.64	1.50 R
V				.77	.63 E	XIV				1.97	1.83	VIII				1.73	1.59 R
NI+3 3U 7 VI	LS			.70	.56 R*	RE+4 5D 3 VI				.77	.63 RM	XII				1.84	1.70 RE
HS				.74	.60 E	RE+5 5D 2 VI				.72	.58 E	VI				.89	.75
NI+4 3D 6 VI	LS			.62	.48 R	RE+6 5D 1 VI				.69	.55 E	VI				1.025	.885 R
ND+2 5F14 VI				1.24	1.1 E	RE+7 5P 6 IV				.52	.38	VIII				1.12	.98 C
NP+2 5F 5 VI				1.24	1.10	RM+3 4D 6 VI				.67	.53	TM+2 4F13 VI				1.17	1.03
NP+3 5F 4 VI				1.01	.87 R	RM+4 4D 5 VI				.805	.665 R	VII				1.23	1.09
NP+4 5F 3 VI				1.01	.87 R	RM+5 4D 4 VI				.74	.60 RM	TM+3 4F12 VI				1.020	.880 R
VIII				1.12	.98 R	RM+6 4D 3 VI				.69	.55	VIII				1.134	.994 R
NP+5 5F 2 VI				.89	.75	RU+3 4D 5 VI				.82	.68	IX				1.192	1.052 R
NP+6 5F 1 VI				.86	.72 R	RU+4 4D 4 VI				.760	.620 RM	U +3 5F 3 VI				1.165	1.025 R
NP+7 6P 6 VI				.85	.71 A	RU+5 4D 3 VI				.705	.565 ER	U +4 5F 2 VI				1.03	.89
O -2 2P 6 II				1.21	1.35	RU+7 4D 1 VI				.52	.38	VII				1.09	.95 E
II				1.22	1.36	RU+8 4P 6 IV				.50	.36	IV				1.14	1.00 R*
IV				1.24	1.38	S -2 3P 6 VI				1.70	1.86 P	IX				1.19	1.05
V				1.26	1.40	S +4 3S 2 VI				.51	.37 A	XII				1.31	1.17 E
VIII				1.28	1.42	S +6 2P 6 IV				.26	.12 *	U +5 5F 1 VI				.90	.76
OH-1				1.18	1.32	S +3 5S 2 IVPY				.63	.29 C	VI				.98	.86 E
II				1.20	1.34	V				.90	.76	U +6 6P 6 II				.59	.45
IV				1.21	1.35 E	V				.94	.80	IV				.66	.52
OS+4 5D 4 VI				1.23	1.37 E	S8+5 4D10 VI				.90	.76 A	VI				.87	.73 *
OS+5 5D 3 VI				.770	.630 RM	SC+3 3P 6 VI				.74	.60 *	VIII				.95	.81 E
OS+6 5D 2 V				.63	.49	SE-2 4P 6 VI				.885	.745 R*	V				1.00	.86
OS+7 5D 1 VI				.685	.545 E	SE+4 4S 2 VI				1.010	.870 R*	V +2 3D 3 VI				.93	.79
OS+8 5P 6 IV				.53	.39	SE+4 4S 2 VI				1.84	1.69 P	V +3 3D 2 VI				.79	.640 R*
P +3 3S 2 VI				.58	.44 A	SE+6 3D10 IV				.64	.50 A	V +4 3D 1 V				.67	.53
P +5 2P 6 IV				.31	.17 *	SI+4 2P 6 VI				.42	.28 *	VI				.72	.58 R*
V				.43	.29	SI+4 2P 6 VI				.56	.42 C	VIII				.86	.72 E
VI				.52	.38 C	SM+2 4F 6 VII				.540	.400 R*	V +5 3P 6 IV				.95	.855 R*
PA+3 5F 2 VI				1.18	1.04 E	SM+2 4F 6 VII				1.36	1.22	V				.60	.46 *
PA+4 6D 1 VI				1.04	.90 R	VIII				1.41	1.27	VI				.68	.54
VIII				1.15	1.01	IX				1.32	1.18	W +4 5D 2 VI				.80	.66 RM
PA+5 6P 6 VI				.92	.78	SM+3 4F 5 VI				1.098	.958 R	W +5 5D 1 VI				.76	.62 R
VII				1.05	.91	VII				1.16	1.02 E	W +6 5P 6 IV				.56	.42 *
PB+2 6S 2 IVPY				1.12	.98 C	VIII				1.219	1.079 R	V				.65	.51
VI				1.33	1.19	IX				1.272	1.132 R	XE+8 4D10 IV				.74	.60 *
VIII				1.37	1.23 C	XII				1.38	1.24 C	VI				.62	.48
IX				1.43	1.29 C	SN+4 4D10 IV				.69	.55 R	Y +3 4P 6 VI				1.040	.900 R*
X				1.49	1.35 C	V				.76	.62 C	VII				.96	.82
XI				1.59	1.45 C	VI				.830	.690 R*	VIII				1.159	1.019 R*
XII				1.63	1.49	VII				.89	.75	IX				1.215	1.075 R
PB+4 5D10 IV				.79	.65 E	SR+2 4P 6 VI				1.32	1.18	YB+2 4F14 VI				1.16	1.02
V				.87	.73 E	VII				1.35	1.21	VII				1.22	1.08 E
VIII				.915	.775 R	IX				1.40	1.26	YB+3 4F13 VI				1.008	.868 R*
VIII				1.08	.94 R	X				1.45	1.31	VI				1.065	.925 E
PD+1 4D 9 II				.73	.59	XI				1.50	1.36 C	VIII				1.125	.985 R
PD+2 4D 8 IVSQ				.78	.64	XII				1.58	1.44 C	IX				1.182	1.042 R
PD+3 4D 7 VI				.90	.76	TA+3 5D 2 VI				.86	.72 E	ZN+2 3D10 IV				.74	.60 *
PD+4 4D 6 VI				.755	.615 R	TA+4 5D 1 VI				.82	.68 E	V				.82	.68 *
PM+3 4F 4 VI				1.11	.97 R	TA+5 5P 6 VI				.78	.64	VI				.880	.740 R*
VIII				1.233	1.093 R	VII				.83	.69	VIII				1.04	.90 C
IX				1.284	1.144 R	TB+3 4F 8 VI				.88	.74	ZR+4 4P 6 IV				.73	.59 R
PD+4 6S 2 VI				1.08	.94 R	VII				1.063	.923 R	V				.80	.66 C
VIII				1.22	1.08 R	VIII				1.12	.98 E	VI				.86	.72 R*
PD+6 5D10 VI				.81	.67 A	IX				1.180	1.040 R	VII				.92	.78 *
						IX				1.235	1.095 R	VIII				.98	.84 *
						VI				.90	.76 R	IX				1.03	.89
						VIII				1.02	.88						

VIII V^{4+} , IV Pb^{4+} , and X Th^{4+} obtained from these plots were used to help determine the values in Table 1. The first estimate of VIII V^{4+} was made from distances in $\text{C}_{32}\text{H}_{28}\text{S}_8\text{V}$ (Bonamico, Dessy, Fares & Scaramuzza, 1974).

Another method used to estimate radii was based on the empirical relationship between interatomic distances and bond strengths. Brown & Shannon (1973) derived these relationships for the cations in the first three rows of the periodic table from a large number of experimental interatomic distances. These curves can be used to calculate hypothetical distances for cations in any coordination (Brown & Shannon, 1973; Shannon, 1975; Brown, 1975). Examples of cations whose radii were calculated in this way are: IV Mn^{2+} , VI Be^{2+} , VI B^{3+} , VI P^{5+} , VI S^{6+} , VIII Mg^{2+} , and VIII Fe^{2+} . These are marked with a C in Table 1. In certain cases, these values were combined with known structural data (see Table 2) to obtain the radii in Table 1. Although the

majority of radii were derived from oxides and fluorides,* some were taken from chlorides, bromides, iodides, and sulfides. For large electropositive cations with highly ionic bonds, very little covalent shortening is believed to occur and radii derived from these other compounds should differ only slightly from those derived from fluorides and oxides. Examples are divalent rare earths such as Yb^{2+} , Tm^{2+} , Dy^{2+} , Sm^{2+} , Nd^{2+} and the ions Am^{2+} , Ac^{3+} , Np^{3+} , and U^{4+} .

Another useful scheme for estimation of radii is the comparison of unit-cell volumes of compounds containing cations of similar size. McCarthy (1971) prepared a number of isotopic Sr^{2+} and Eu^{2+} ternary oxides and generally found the unit cells of the Sr^{2+}

* Because of covalency differences in M-O and M-F bonds, oxide distances were emphasized. Therefore the radii in Table 1 are more applicable to oxides than fluorides. This subject is treated further in the discussion *Effects of covalence*.

Table 2 (cont.)

74 ZAACA 403 1 R3 VS V (DY F3)	73 ACBCA 29 869 HG MO D4	74 ACBCA 30 2491 MG2 V2 D7
ER+3 VI 74 ACBCA 26 484 ER2 S12 D7	HO+3 VIII 30 2049 K MO BE F6	71 AMMIA 56 1593 HG 186 D7 (O H)61.2H2 U
ER+3 VII 74 ACBCA 26 484 ER2 S12 D7	74 ACBCA 30 2049 K MO BE F6	73 AMMIA 56 1593 HG 186 D7 (O H)61.2H2 U
70 SPHCA 15 36 ER2 GE2 D7	70 SSCOA 8 1745 HO3 FES D12	74 CJCMA 52 1185 CA18 MG2 H2 (P D)414
72 JCMLO 2 197 ER8 U (THD)10 (O H)12	72 BUCCA 95 437 MO P5 O14	70 INOCA 9 151 MG (OPMA)3 (CL D)412
ER+3 VIII 2 47 ER P O4, ER V D4	74 ZAACA 403 1 R3 VS V (HO F3)	72 CJCMA 50 3619 MG V2 U6
68 CHPLB 2 47 ER P O4, ER V D4	HO+3 IX 30 2613 HOIC2 H5 S O413.9H2 O	71 ACBCA 29 2613 MG3 AS2 D8
70 INOCA 9 151 MG (OPMA)3 (CL D)412	74 ACBCA 30 2613 HOIC2 H5 S O413.9H2 O	MG+2 VIII 29 266 CALCULATED
70 SSCOA 8 1745 HO3 FES D12	74 INOCA 13 2535 HO1H2 O14 (M C O)13.2H2 O	MG+2 IX 29 266 CALCULATED
71 ACSAA 25 172 ER (M U C H2 C D O)13.2H2 O	73 CJCMA 53 831 (N O)12(HOIN O)315	MG+2 X 29 266 CALCULATED
74 ZAACA 403 1 R3 VS V (ER F3)	71 JCPSCA 54 2556 N H4 I O3	MG+2 XI 29 266 CALCULATED
72 JCMLO 2 197 ER8 U (THD)10 (O H)12	68 ACBCA 20 758 L1 I O3	MG+2 XII 29 266 CALCULATED
ER+3 IX 112 362 ER (C2 H5 S O4)3.9H2 O	66 ACBCA 20 758 L1 I O3	MG+2 XIII 29 266 CALCULATED
74 ZAACA 403 1 R3 VS V (ER F3)	58 ACBCA 9 1015 CE (I O)314	MG+2 XIV 29 266 CALCULATED
EU+2 VI 374 201 L1 EU3 O4	58 ACBCA 11 796 CE (I O)314.2H2 O	MG+2 XV 29 266 CALCULATED
EU+2 VII 374 201 L1 EU3 O4	43 RCTCB 62 729 N H4 I O3	MG+2 XVI 29 266 CALCULATED
70 ZAACA 374 201 L1 EU3 O4	I+5 VI 54 2556 N H4 I O3	MG+2 XVII 29 266 CALCULATED
69 ACBCA 25 1104 EU12 V (ER F3)	71 JCPSCA 54 2556 N H4 I O3	MG+2 XVIII 29 266 CALCULATED
73 REF 3 112 EU5 O8	70 ACBCA 26 1782 NA I O4	MG+2 XIX 29 266 CALCULATED
EU+2 VIII 25 1104 EU12 V (ER F3)	26 JEPFA 19 386 K H2 I O2	MG+2 XX 29 266 CALCULATED
EU+2 IX 10 77 EU CL2	71 JCSIA 1971 1857 (I+7)U	MG+2 XXI 29 266 CALCULATED
UNPUL EU F2, EU BR2	I+7 VI 20 765 H5 I U6	MG+2 XXII 29 266 CALCULATED
EU+2 X 71 NATMA 58 218 EU2 S1 U4	68 ACBCA 19 629 K4 H2 I2 O10.8H2 O	MG+2 XXIII 29 266 CALCULATED
EU+3 VI 71 NATMA 58 218 EU2 S1 U4	37 JACSA 59 2036 (N H4)2 H3 I U6	MG+2 XXIV 29 266 CALCULATED
68 REF 4 EU4 AL2 O9	74 ZAACA 409 97 RB2 IN4 O7	MG+2 XXV 29 266 CALCULATED
70 ZAACA 374 201 L1 EU3 O4	74 ZAACA 395 280 SR2 IN2 O5	MG+2 XXVI 29 266 CALCULATED
73 REF 3 112 EU5 O8	IN+3 VI 409 97 RB2 IN4 O7	MG+2 XXVII 29 266 CALCULATED
EU+3 VII 68 REF 4 EU4 AL2 O9	IN+3 VII 409 97 RB2 IN4 O7	MG+2 XXVIII 29 266 CALCULATED
73 REF 3 112 EU5 O8	61 ACSAA 15 1437 (M D H) S O4.1H2 O12	MG+2 XXIX 29 266 CALCULATED
EU+3 VIII 68 JCPSCA 48 1094 EU2 FE2 GA3 D12	68 ACBCA 24 388 L12 IN2 O5	MG+2 XXX 29 266 CALCULATED
74 ZAACA 403 1 R3 VS V (EU F3)	70 ACSCA 24 1662 (M D H) S O4	MG+2 XXXI 29 266 CALCULATED
73 ACSAA 27 2827 EU2 (C3 H2 O4)3.2H2 O	69 INOCA 8 1985 IN2 O3	MG+2 XXXII 29 266 CALCULATED
71 ACSAA 25 3347 EU TRISOLYCOLATE	74 ACBCA 30 2613 HOIC2 H5 S O413.9H2 O	MG+2 XXXIII 29 266 CALCULATED
FE+2 IV 50 HS 74 AMMIA 59 1166 BA FE S14 O10	74 SPHDA 18 761 IN2 GE2 O7	MG+2 XXXIV 29 266 CALCULATED
FE+2 V HS 166 SCIEA 1399 (NA)12 FE4 S112 O30.2H2 O	IR+4 VI 3 174 SR IR O3	MG+2 XXXV 29 266 CALCULATED
69 ZAACA 369 306 FE V2 O4	71 JSSCB 3 174 SR IR O3	MG+2 XXXVI 29 266 CALCULATED
71 JUPSA 31 452 FE2 T1 O4	IR+5 VI 3 174 SR IR O3	MG+2 XXXVII 29 266 CALCULATED
72 JUPSA 33 1296 FE2 T1 O4	74 MRBUA 9 1177 R3 VS V (CO2 IR2 O7)	MG+2 XXXVIII 29 266 CALCULATED
FE+2 VI LS 69 ACBCA 25 925 R V S (FE S2)	K+1 IV 68 ZAACA 358 241 K AG O	MG+2 XXXIX 29 266 CALCULATED
FE+2 VII HS 69 NUNMA 1969 430 FE AL2 (O H)12 (O H)216	REF 2 K2 O	MG+2 XL 29 266 CALCULATED
70 BUCCA 95 190 FE S O4	K+1 VI 51 ZAACA 264 144 K S8 F6	MG+2 XLI 29 266 CALCULATED
71 SPHCA 15 36 ER2 GE2 D7	68 SPHDA 12 1095 K Y MO2 O8	MG+2 XLII 29 266 CALCULATED
67 ACBCA 22 775 FE (IN)1215 O412.2H2 O	69 CJCMA 11 606 K2 H2 O12	MG+2 XLIII 29 266 CALCULATED
68 CJCMA 68 290 L1 FE P O4	69 ACBCA 25 1919 K U2 F9	MG+2 XLIV 29 266 CALCULATED
74 AMMIA 59 486 FE2 S1 U4	K+1 VII 68 JCSIA 46 935 K2 CR2 O7	MG+2 XLV 29 266 CALCULATED
FE+2 VIII 134 333 FE3 AL2 S13 O12	69 JCSIA 1969 849 K2 MO O4	MG+2 XLVI 29 266 CALCULATED
73 ACBCA 29 266 CALCULATED	71 SSCOA 9 335 K FE F4	MG+2 XLVII 29 266 CALCULATED
FE+3 IV HS 70 BUCCA 95 190 FE S O4	K+1 VIII 74 306 K H2 P O4	MG+2 XLVIII 29 266 CALCULATED
71 SPHCA 15 36 ER2 GE2 D7	62 ZKKA 117 411 K2 T16 O13	MG+2 XLIX 29 266 CALCULATED
67 ACBCA 22 775 FE (IN)1215 O412.2H2 O	67 ZKKA 98 268 K2 H2 (M3 O)1 65 O10	MG+2 L 29 266 CALCULATED
68 CJCMA 68 290 L1 FE P O4	71 INOCA 7 873 K H2 C O4	MG+2 LI 29 266 CALCULATED
74 AMMIA 59 486 FE2 S1 U4	68 CJCMA 46 935 K2 CR2 O7	MG+2 LII 29 266 CALCULATED
FE+2 IX 134 333 FE3 AL2 S13 O12	70 JCSIA 1970 3092 K (N O)314	MG+2 LIII 29 266 CALCULATED
73 ACBCA 29 266 CALCULATED	65 ACBCA 10 629 K4 H2 I2 O10.8H2 O	MG+2 LIV 29 266 CALCULATED
FE+3 V HS 70 BUCCA 95 190 FE S O4	K+1 IX 70 ZKKA 132 27 K1.4 NAS.5 CAO.3 ALT.5	MG+2 LV 29 266 CALCULATED
71 SPHCA 15 36 ER2 GE2 D7	69 ACBCA 25 400 K2 H2 I2 O10.8H2 O	MG+2 LVI 29 266 CALCULATED
67 ACBCA 22 775 FE (IN)1215 O412.2H2 O	69 ACBCA 25 1919 K U2 F9	MG+2 LVII 29 266 CALCULATED
68 CJCMA 68 290 L1 FE P O4	K+1 X 73 CJCMA 51 2613 K AL P2 O7	MG+2 LVIII 29 266 CALCULATED
74 AMMIA 59 486 FE2 S1 U4	K+1 XI 68 SPHCA 13 420 K Y MO2 O8	MG+2 LIX 29 266 CALCULATED
FE+2 X 134 333 FE3 AL2 S13 O12	71 INOCA 10 1264 K2 H2 CU IN O216	MG+2 LX 29 266 CALCULATED
73 ACBCA 29 266 CALCULATED	67 INOCA 5 514 K2 BA CU IN O216	MG+2 LXI 29 266 CALCULATED
FE+3 VI HS 70 BUCCA 95 190 FE S O4	74 JACSA 96 6806 K2 CA CU IN O216	MG+2 LXII 29 266 CALCULATED
71 SPHCA 15 36 ER2 GE2 D7	75 ACBCA 11 596 K2 BA CU IN O216	MG+2 LXIII 29 266 CALCULATED
67 ACBCA 22 775 FE (IN)1215 O412.2H2 O	57 PISA 50 143 K CL O4	MG+2 LXIV 29 266 CALCULATED
68 CJCMA 68 290 L1 FE P O4	LA+3 VI 69 ZKKA 129 255 KA LO2	MG+2 LXV 29 266 CALCULATED
74 AMMIA 59 486 FE2 S1 U4	73 MRBUA 8 1269 R3 VS V (RE2 H3 O12)	MG+2 LXVI 29 266 CALCULATED
FE+2 XI 134 333 FE3 AL2 S13 O12	LA+3 VII 59 1277 LA2 MG2 T13 S14 O22	MG+2 LXVII 29 266 CALCULATED
73 ACBCA 29 266 CALCULATED	73 ACBCA 29 2074 LA2 MO3 O12	MG+2 LXVIII 29 266 CALCULATED
FE+3 VII HS 70 BUCCA 95 190 FE S O4	68 INOCA 7 2295 LA (CS H2 O2)13 (H2 O12)	MG+2 LXIX 29 266 CALCULATED
71 SPHCA 15 36 ER2 GE2 D7	74 ZAACA 403 1 R3 VS V (LA F3)	MG+2 LXX 29 266 CALCULATED
67 ACBCA 22 775 FE (IN)1215 O412.2H2 O	74 SPHCA 18 675 LA2 SR3 (B O)314	MG+2 LXXI 29 266 CALCULATED
68 CJCMA 68 290 L1 FE P O4	LA+3 IX 71 MRBUA 6 23 LA FE O3	MG+2 LXXII 29 266 CALCULATED
74 AMMIA 59 486 FE2 S1 U4	74 ZAACA 403 1 R3 VS V (LA F3)	MG+2 LXXIII 29 266 CALCULATED
FE+2 XII 134 333 FE3 AL2 S13 O12	74 AMMIA 59 1277 LA2 MG2 T13 S14 O22	MG+2 LXXIV 29 266 CALCULATED
73 ACBCA 29 266 CALCULATED	39 ZKKA 102 119 L1 O H2 O	MG+2 LXXV 29 266 CALCULATED
FE+3 VIII HS 70 BUCCA 95 190 FE S O4	70 ZAACA 379 187 L12 C O2	MG+2 LXXVI 29 266 CALCULATED
71 SPHCA 15 36 ER2 GE2 D7	71 AMMIA 56 18 NA3 AL2 L13 F12	MG+2 LXXVII 29 266 CALCULATED
67 ACBCA 22 775 FE (IN)1215 O412.2H2 O	71 ACBCA 27 616 L15 GA O4	MG+2 LXXVIII 29 266 CALCULATED
68 CJCMA 68 290 L1 FE P O4	73 JSSCB 6 538 L13 V O4	MG+2 LXXIX 29 266 CALCULATED
74 AMMIA 59 486 FE2 S1 U4	73 ACBCA 29 2625 L1 (IN2 H5) BE F4	MG+2 LXXX 29 266 CALCULATED
FE+2 XIII 134 333 FE3 AL2 S13 O12	64 ACBCA 17 703 L12 C2 O4	MG+2 LXXXI 29 266 CALCULATED
73 ACBCA 29 266 CALCULATED	74 ACBCA 30 2613 HOIC2 H5 S O413.9H2 O	MG+2 LXXXII 29 266 CALCULATED
FE+3 IX HS 70 BUCCA 95 190 FE S O4	L1+1 VI 68 ACBCA 24 225 L13 AL F6	MG+2 LXXXIII 29 266 CALCULATED
71 SPHCA 15 36 ER2 GE2 D7	69 ZAACA 371 306 L12 IR O3	MG+2 LXXXIV 29 266 CALCULATED
67 ACBCA 22 775 FE (IN)1215 O412.2H2 O	70 ZKKA 132 118 L12 AL2 S13 O10	MG+2 LXXXV 29 266 CALCULATED
68 CJCMA 68 290 L1 FE P O4	71 MRBUA 6 555 L12 MO F6	MG+2 LXXXVI 29 266 CALCULATED
74 AMMIA 59 486 FE2 S1 U4	69 ACBCA 19 561 L1 CO O7 H7	MG+2 LXXXVII 29 266 CALCULATED
FE+2 XIV 134 333 FE3 AL2 S13 O12	74 ACTEA 86 819 L1 ND O2	MG+2 LXXXVIII 29 266 CALCULATED
73 ACBCA 29 266 CALCULATED	68 CJCMA 68 290 L1 FE P O4	MG+2 LXXXIX 29 266 CALCULATED
FE+3 X HS 70 BUCCA 95 190 FE S O4	71 ACSAA 25 3337 L1 V O3	MG+2 LXXXX 29 266 CALCULATED
71 SPHCA 15 36 ER2 GE2 D7	73 CJCMA 51 265 L1 V O3	MG+2 LXXXXI 29 266 CALCULATED
67 ACBCA 22 775 FE (IN)1215 O412.2H2 O	73 ACBCA 29 2294 L12 ZA F6	MG+2 LXXXXII 29 266 CALCULATED
68 CJCMA 68 290 L1 FE P O4	70 ZAACA 377 70 CA LU2 O4	MG+2 LXXXXIII 29 266 CALCULATED
74 AMMIA 59 486 FE2 S1 U4	71 JACSA 4 284 LU B O3	MG+2 LXXXXIV 29 266 CALCULATED
FE+2 XV 134 333 FE3 AL2 S13 O12	LU+3 VI 74 ZAACA 403 1 R3 VS V (LU F3)	MG+2 LXXXXV 29 266 CALCULATED
73 ACBCA 29 266 CALCULATED	74 ZAACA 403 1 R3 VS V (LU F3)	MG+2 LXXXXVI 29 266 CALCULATED
FE+3 XI HS 70 BUCCA 95 190 FE S O4	MG+2 IV 72 ACBCA 28 267 K2 MG5 S112 O30	MG+2 LXXXXVII 29 266 CALCULATED
71 SPHCA 15 36 ER2 GE2 D7	22 ACBCA 5 684 MG AL2 O4	MG+2 LXXXXVIII 29 266 CALCULATED
67 ACBCA 22 775 FE (IN)1215 O412.2H2 O	72 ACBCA 28 2553 K2 H2 S16 O15	MG+2 LXXXXIX 29 266 CALCULATED
68 CJCMA 68 290 L1 FE P O4	74 ACBCA 30 2667 K6 MG U4	MG+2 LXXXXX 29 266 CALCULATED
74 AMMIA 59 486 FE2 S1 U4	MG+2 V 68 ACBCA 22 1966 MG P2 O8	MG+2 LXXXXXI 29 266 CALCULATED
FE+2 XVI 134 333 FE3 AL2 S13 O12	66 NUNMA 1966 142 MG BA O7	MG+2 LXXXXXII 29 266 CALCULATED
73 ACBCA 29 266 CALCULATED	UNPUL MG2 P2 O8	MG+2 LXXXXXIII 29 266 CALCULATED
FE+3 XII HS 70 BUCCA 95 190 FE S O4	MG+2 VI 65 CJCMA 43 1139 MG2 P2 O7	MG+2 LXXXXXIV 29 266 CALCULATED
71 SPHCA 15 36 ER2 GE2 D7	63 BARCA 11 361 MG AS2 O7	MG+2 LXXXXXV 29 266 CALCULATED
67 ACBCA 22 775 FE (IN)1215 O412.2H2 O	70 ACBCA 26 1429 MG N H4 P O4	MG+2 LXXXXXVI 29 266 CALCULATED
68 CJCMA 68 290 L1 FE P O4	71 CJCMA 49 1610 MG N H4 P O4	MG+2 LXXXXXVII 29 266 CALCULATED
74 AMMIA 59 486 FE2 S1 U4	69 INOCA 8 1665 CS4 MG3 F10	MG+2 LXXXXXVIII 29 266 CALCULATED
FE+2 XVII 134 333 FE3 AL2 S13 O12	69 ZKKA 129 65 MG S1 O3	MG+2 LXXXXXIX 29 266 CALCULATED
73 ACBCA 29 266 CALCULATED	68 SPHCA 13 933 H2 O12	MG+2 LXXXXXX 29 266 CALCULATED
FE+3 XIII HS 70 BUCCA 95 190 FE S O4	70 JSSCB 2 612 MG28 GE10 O48	MG+2 LXXXXXXI 29 266 CALCULATED
71 SPHCA 15 36 ER2 GE2 D7	65 MRLMO 1965 196 MG AL B UN	MG+2 LXXXXXXII 29 266 CALCULATED
67 ACBCA 22 775 FE (IN)1215 O412.2H2 O	70 BSCCA 1970 424 H3 S O4.2H2 O	MG+2 LXXXXXXIII 29 266 CALCULATED
68 CJCMA 68 290 L1 FE P O4	71 ACBCA 27 815 MG3 TE O6	MG+2 LXXXXXXIV 29 266 CALCULATED
74 AMMIA 59 486 FE2 S1 U4	68 ACBCA 22 1466 MG3 TE O6	MG+2 LXXXXXXV 29 266 CALCULATED
FE+2 XVIII 134 333 FE3 AL2 S13 O12	70 REF 1 CA MG S1 O4	MG+2 LXXXXXXVI 29 266 CALCULATED
73 ACBCA 29 266 CALCULATED		MG+2 LXXXXXXVII 29 266 CALCULATED

74 ACBCA	30 2491 MG2 V2 D7	
71 AMMIA	56 1593 HG 186 D7 (O H)61.2H2 U	
73 AMMIA	56 1593 HG C C O3	
74 CJCMA	52 1185 CA18 MG2 H2 (P D)414	
70 INOCA	9 151 MG (OPMA)3 (CL D)412	
72 CJCMA	50 3619 MG V2 U6	
71 ACBCA	29 2613 MG3 AS2 D8	
MG+2 VIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 IX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 X	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 XI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 XII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 XIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 XIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 XV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 XVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 XVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 XVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 XIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 XX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 XXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 XXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 XXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 XXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 XXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 XXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 XXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 XXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 XXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 L	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXX	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXIV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXV	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVI	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVII	29 266 CALCULATED	
MG+2 LXXXXXXXVIII	29 266 CALCULATED	

Table 2 (cont.)

[illegible]

Table 2 (cont.)

72 ACBCA 28 956 BA TB 03	71 JINCA 33 2867 CR2 U 06	69 PHSSA 32 K91 ZN FE2 O4
TC+5 VI 67 STGBA 3 1 R3 VS V (FLUORIDES)	72 ACBCA 28 3409 U 02 10 H12	73 ACSAA 27 1541 ZN S O3.2 1/2H2 U
TC+7 IV 69 ACIEA 8 381 TC2 07	73 ACBCA 29 7 U F6	64 INDOA 3 245 ZN (OPH2)
71 ZAACA 380 146 TC2 07	U6+ VII 72 ACBCA 28 3609 U 03	ZN+2 V 70 JSSCB 1 120 ZN2 P2 07
69 ACBCA 25 1551 H3 FE2 TE4 O12 CL	U6+ VIII 69 ACBCA 25 787 CA U 04	73 CJCHA 51 1004 ZN2 V2 07
71 ACBCA 27 602 71 TE3 O8, 3M TE3 O8, TE O2, HF TE3 O8, ZR TE3 O8	69 ACBCA 19 205 RB U 02 (IN O313)	71 ANMIA 56 1147 ZN4 AS2 O8 10 H12.2H2 O
71 ACBCA 27 608 U TE3 O9	V+2 VI UNPUS V F2	ZN+2 VI 65 CJCHA 43 1147 ZN2 P2 07
TE+4 VI 61 ZKXKA 116 345 TE 02	V+3 VI 70 PRVBA 2 3771 V2 03	68 SPHCA 13 127 ZN W 04
71 ACBCA 27 602 M TE3 O8	73 JSSCB 6 419 V4 07	70 JSSCB 1 120 ZN2 P2 07
71 ACBCA 27 608 U TE3 O9	69 ACBCA 25 1354 V IC5 H7 O213	71 CJCHA 49 3056 ZN3 V2 O8
TE+6 IV 71 JCSEA 1971 1857 TE(+6)+D	69 ZAACA 369 306 M V2 O4	71 ANMIA 56 1147 ZN4 AS2 O8 10 H12.2H2 O
71 ACBCA 27 608 U TE3 O9	74 MRBUA 9 1091 (VO2)99 CR0.0112 O3	73 ACSAA 27 1541 ZN S O3.2 1/2H2 O
71 JCSEA 1971 1857 TE(+6)+D	70 JCSEA 31 3569 V2 03	75 JSSCB 13 275 R3 VS V (M4 ZR O4)
TE+6 VI 69 ZEMBA 24 647 L16 TE 06	V+4 V 65 ACBCA 19 432 L1 V2 O5	ZR+4 V 69 CCJDA 1969 727 K2 ZR O3
70 MRBUA 5 189 MG3 TE 06	61 JCPSA 55 5 V O IC5 H7 O212	70 JSSCB 2 410 K2 ZR O3
69 ACSAA 23 3062 NA2 KA TE2 O8 10 H12 1H2 O114	73 ACBCA 29 269 CA V3 07	ZR+4 V 69 ACBCA 25 2658 ZR 1H AS O412.H2 O
64 INDOA 3 634 K TE 0 10 H15.H2 O	73 ACBCA 29 1335 CA V4 O9	69 ZAACA 371 306 L12 ZR O3
64 NATMA 51 552 K TE 0 H	V+4 VI 72 JSSCB 5 446 CU V 03	70 JSSCB 1 478 K2 ZR2 O5
66 ACSAA 20 2138 KA TE2 O6 10 H14.H2 O	71 JSSCB 6 419 V4 07	68 ACSAA 27 1822 ZN4 ZR2 P3 O12
70 NATMA 57 393 MG3 TE 06	72 PRVBA 5 2541 V O2 CR	73 ACBCA 29 2294 L12 ZR F6
70 ZAACA 378 129 SR2 M1 TE 06	74 ACBCA 30 2644 V3 07	71 ACBCA 27 1944 RB5 ZR F21
70 ACSAA 24 3178 TE 10 H16	71 ACSAA 25 2675 V6 O13	74 CJCHA 52 2175 R3 VS V
66 ACSAA 20 1535 TE F6	70 ACSAA 24 420 VO2	ZR+4 VII 69 ACBCA 25 2164 NA2 ZR F6
71 ACBCA 27 615 MG3 TE 06	74 PRVBA 10 490 VO2	70 ACBCA 24 417 (H M41) ZR F7
65 ZAACA 334 225 K TE 02 10 H13	V+5 IV 68 ACBCA 24 292 Y V O4	70 JACTA 53 126 ZR O2
68 CHODA 267 1435 CO2 TE 06	68 CHPLB 2 47 ER V O4	73 ACSAA 27 177 ZR4 10 H16 (CA O415.H2 O
69 MOGMB 100 1809 AG2 TE 02 10 H14	67 ACSAA 25 265 L1 V2 O8	15 ACSAA 27 2614 ZR4 10 H12 S O4.H2 O
71 BUFGA 94 172 TE 10 H16	70 ZKXKA 131 161 BA3 (V O412	71 ACBCA 27 1944 RB5 ZR F21
73 ACBCA 29 2976 NA3 BE TH10 F45	71 JSSCB 3 458 ND V O4	ZR+4 VIII 69 ACBCA 25 1558 ZR2 S O414 1H2 O18.H2 O
73 ACBCA 29 2976 NA3 BE TH10 F45	27 71 ACBCA 29 2304 CO1 V2 O8, N13 V2 O8	69 ACBCA 25 1566 ZR2 S O414 1H2 O18.H2 O
73 ACSAA 27 85 TE 10 H16	71 CJCHA 49 1629 MG3 V2 O8	69 ACBCA 25 1572 ZR2 S O414.5H2 O
74 ACBCA 30 1813 H2 TE 04	71 ACBCA 29 2304 CO1 V2 O8, N13 V2 O8	71 ANMIA 56 1147 ZN4 AS2 O8 10 H12.2H2 O
74 ACBCA 30 2095 (IN H416 1M6 O24) TE (U16) 7H2 O	72 JSSCB 4 29 FE V O4	63 INDOA 27 1944 RB5 ZR F21
TH+4 VI 74 CJCHA 52 2175 R3 VS V	73 CJCHA 51 1004 ZN2 V2 07	63 INDOA 27 250 NA4 ZR IC2 O414.3H2 O
TH+4 VIII 71 ACBCA 27 629 K5 TH F9	71 CJCHA 49 3056 ZN3 V2 O8	HEF 1 G. E. BROWN, PH.D. THESIS, VIRGINIA
71 ACBCA 27 2290 K7 TH F31	72 CJCHA 51 1004 ZN2 V2 07	POLYTECH. INST., UNIV. MICROFILMS, 78-498
74 ICCHAA 8 273 K TH P3 O10	73 JSSCB 6 518 L13 V O4	REF 2 R.W.O. WYCKOFF, CRYSTAL STRUCTURES, WILEY, N.Y., 1965
TH+4 IX 68 CCJDA 1968 990 (IN H414 TH F8	72 CJCHA 51 1004 ZN2 V2 07	REF 3 H.BARNIGHAUSEN ET AL., PROD. 10TH R.E.
69 ACBCA 25 1958 (IN H414 TH F8	73 ACBCA 29 141 V Y O4	RES. CONF. CAREER, ARIZ. 1973, 490
68 CCACA 40 147 K TH2 P3 O12	73 ACBCA 29 1338 CU5 V2 O10	REF 4 C.BRANDLE, STEINFINK, PROD. 7TH R.E.
70 ICCHAA 4 571 NA TH2 (P O413	73 ACBCA 29 141 V Y O4	RES. CONF. CORDONADO, CAL. OCT. 28, 1968
71 ACBCA 27 1823 RB TH3 F13	74 ACBCA 30 1678 NA V O3	REF 5 R.D. SHANNON, U.S. PAT. 3,663,181, MAY 16, 1972
73 ACBCA 29 2976 NA3 BE TH10 F45	74 NJMMA 5 2110 C45 (V O413 O H	REF 6 W.M. BAUR, NITROGEN, HANDBOOK OF GEUCHEM, SPRINGER-VERLAG, N.Y., 1974
70 ACBCA 26 1185 K NA TH F6	V+5 V 50 ACSAA 5 1210 V2 O5	REF 7 A.W. SLEIGHT, U.S. PAT. 3,809,544, NOV. 19, 1974
71 ACBCA 27 2279 (IN H413 TH F7	71 ACBCA 29 2044 V3 O5	UNPUB. H.BARNIGHAUSEN, PERSONAL COMMUNICATION
TH+4 X 73 ACBCA 29 2687 TH (IN O314 (1C6 H513) P O12	74 ACBCA 30 2491 MG2 V2 07	UNPUB. A.W. SLEIGHT, PERSONAL COMMUNICATION
TH+4 XI 60 ACBCA 20 842 TH (IN O314.5H2 O	70 CHODA 270 952 CA V2 O6	UNPUB. C.CALVO, PERSONAL COMMUNICATION
66 ACBCA 20 836 TH (IN O314.5H2 O	V+5 VI 72 JSSCB 5 432 V P O5	UNPUB. C.T. PREWITT, PERSONAL COMMUNICATION
TH+4 XII 65 ACBCA 18 698 MG TH (IN O316.8H2 O	71 ACBCA 25 2675 V6 O13	UNPUB. W.M. BAUR, PERSONAL COMMUNICATION
T1+3 VI 73 JSSCB 6 213 TH 07	72 CJCHA 50 3619 MG V2 O6	ACACB ACTA CRYST. SECT. A
68 PHVBA 9 255 T12 O3	73 CJCHA 51 2184 K3 V O2 C2 O4.3H2 O	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. B
74 ACBCA 30 662 CS T1 15 O412.1H2 O	73 ACBCA 29 1743 CU V2 O6	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. C
T1+4 IV 67 STGBA 3 1 R3 VS V (FLUORIDES)	V+5 VII 67 STGBA 3 1 R3 VS V (FLUORIDES)	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. D
T1+4 V 73 ACBCA 29 2009 BA2 T1 O4	M+6 IV 69 ACBCA 25 1704 K2 M O4	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. E
61 ACBCA 14 295 BA2 T1 O4	71 SPHCA 15 636 ND M O6	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. F
71 JCSEA 1971 1857 T1(+4)+D	71 SPHCA 15 636 ND M O6	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. G
74 ZAACA 408 60 RB2 T1 O3	72 ACBCA 28 3174 SH O4	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. H
T1+4 VI 68 ACBCA 24 1327 V2 T1 O5	71 JCPSA 55 1093 SR M O4.8A M O4	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. I
T1+4 VII 70 ZKXKA 131 278 V2 T12 07	71 JCSEA 1971 1857	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. J
71 ACBCA 27 635 NE H6 T1 F6	74 ACBCA 30 1872 NA2 M O4	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. K
71 JSSCB 3 340 T14 07	74 ACBCA 30 1878 AL2 (IN O413	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. L
70 ACBCA 26 336 BA T1 O3	M+6 V 74 ACBCA 30 2587 CA3 M O5 CL2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. M
64 ACBCA 17 240 CO T1 O3	M+6 VI 69 SPHCA 13 933 MG M O6	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. N
71 JCPSA 55 3266 T1 O2	69 SSGDA 7 1797 B12 M O6	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. O
72 CSCMC 1 1 BA T16 O13	70 SPHCA 14 518 K (IN O412	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. P
72 ZKXKA 136 273 T1 O2	74 SPHCA 14 515 L12 FE H2 O8	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. Q
74 ZKXKA 139 103 K T1 P O5	70 SPHCA 15 28 PR2 M2 O9	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. R
72 INDOA 11 2989 (T1 O1C5 H7 O21212	70 ACBCA 26 1020 CU V2 O6	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. S
74 ICCHAA 11 243 (NH412 T1 O1C2 O412.H2 O	70 JSSCB 2 278 L1 FE (IN O412	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. T
74 ACBCA 30 2894 BA T12 O5	66 ACSAA 20 2698 M F6 (GAS)	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. U
74 CJCHA 52 2175 R3 VS V	72 ZEMBA 27 203 SH M O415	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. V
T1+4 VIII 66 JCSEA 1968 1496 T1 (IN O314	71 SPHCA 15 991 ND M3 O15	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. W
TL+1 VI R3 VS V (AFI)	74 JSSCB 10 5 FE2 M O6	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. X
TL+1 VII 75 ACBCA 31 365 TL N O3	64 ACBCA 30 2069 BA M O4	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. Y
TL+1 IX 71 JCSEA 1971 1857 XE(+8)+D	TL+1 VI 71 JCPSA 52 812 XE O4	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. Z
TL+1 X 71 ZAACA 381 129 L15 TL O4	71 JCSEA 1971 1857 XE(+8)+D	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. AA
73 ZAACA 396 113 SR4 TL2 O7	64 INDOA 3 1412 NA4 XE O6.0H2 O	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. AB
74 ZAACA 405 191 BA2 TL2 O5	64 INDOA 3 1417 NA4 XE O6.0H2 O	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. AC
TL+3 VI 68 ZKXKA 126 143 TL2 O3	Y+3 VI 67 ACBCA 22 354 V2 BE O4	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. AD
74 ZAACA 405 197 BA2 TL2 O5	68 ZAACA 358 138 SR Y2 O4	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. AE
75 ZAACA 412 37 RB TL F4	67 SPHCA 11 583 NA Y S1 O4	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. AF
TL+3 VIII 72 ZAACA 393 223 TL F3	69 ACBCA 25 2140 Y2 O3	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. AG
TM+2 VI UNPUB. TM 12	71 SPHCA 15 806 Y2 S1 O5	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. AH
TM+2 VII UNPUB. TM CL2, TM BR2	71 JCSEA 1974 229 C66 H12 13 N12 O6 Y	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. AI
TM+2 VIII 63 PHSSA 3 K446 TM2 O3	Y+3 VII 68 INDOA 7 1777 (YIC65GCHCHCH313.H2O	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. AJ
TM+2 IX 8 1749 TM3 FES O12	Y+3 VIII 68 ACBCA 24 292 Y V O4	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. AK
74 ZAACA 403 1 K3 VS V (TM F3)	57 ACBCA 10 239 Y3 FES O12	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. AL
TM+3 IX 74 ZAACA 403 1 K3 VS V (TM F3)	68 SPHCA 12 1095 K Y NDZ O8	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. AM
U+3 VI 74 ZAACA 403 1 K3 VS V (TM F3)	59 SPHCA 13 420 K Y W2 U8	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. AN
U+3 VII 68 JINCA 30 823 R (U+3)	70 ZKXKA 131 278 V2 T12 07	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. AO
U+3 VIII 73 JSSCB 8 331 R3 VS V	67 ACBCA 21 939 Y TA O4	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. AP
67 INDOA 3 327 R (U+4)	74 ZAACA 403 1 R3 VS V (Y F3)	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. AQ
U+3 IX 52 2175 R3 VS V	Y+3 IX 69 ZKXKA 112 362 Y (C2 H5 S O413.9H2 O	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. AR
U+3 X 26 38 (IN H414 U F8	74 ZAACA 403 1 R3 VS V (Y F3)	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. AS
73 ACBCA 29 1442 U CL4	YB+2 VI 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB BR2, YB 12	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. AT
U+3 XI 69 ACBCA 25 1919 K U2 F9	YB+2 VII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB CL2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. AU
69 ACBCA 27 245 C3 U6 F25	71 ZAACA 386 221 YB BR2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. AV
73 ACBCA 29 1442 U CL4	YB+2 VIII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. AW
U+3 XII 69 ACBCA 23 805 CS U F6	YB+3 VII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. AX
70 JINCA 32 3701 NA U O3	YB+3 VIII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. AY
65 BUFGA 86 214 U CR O4	YB+3 IX 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. AZ
67 BUFGA 40 257 U FE U4	YB+4 VI 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. BA
U+5 VI 67 ACBCA 23 805 CS U F6	YB+4 VII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. BB
65 JINCA 32 3701 NA U O3	YB+4 VIII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. BC
65 BUFGA 86 214 U CR O4	YB+4 IX 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. BD
67 BUFGA 40 257 U FE U4	YB+5 VI 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. BE
U+5 VII 73 SPHCA 18 323 U2 RD O8	YB+5 VII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. BF
U+5 VIII 68 ACBCA 24 296 CU U O4	YB+5 VIII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. BG
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+5 IX 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. BH
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+6 VI 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. BI
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+6 VII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. BJ
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+6 VIII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. BK
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+6 IX 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. BL
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+7 VI 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. BM
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+7 VII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. BN
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+7 VIII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. BO
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+7 IX 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. BP
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+8 VI 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. BQ
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+8 VII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. BR
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+8 VIII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. BS
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+8 IX 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. BT
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+9 VI 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. BU
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+9 VII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. BV
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+9 VIII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. BW
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+9 IX 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. BX
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+10 VI 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. BY
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+10 VII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. BZ
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+10 VIII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. CA
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+10 IX 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. CB
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+11 VI 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. CC
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+11 VII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. CD
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+11 VIII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. CE
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+11 IX 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. CF
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+12 VI 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. CG
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+12 VII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. CH
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+12 VIII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. CI
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+12 IX 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. CJ
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+13 VI 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. CK
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+13 VII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. CL
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+13 VIII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. CM
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+13 IX 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. CN
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+14 VI 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. CO
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+14 VII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. CP
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+14 VIII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. CQ
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+14 IX 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. CR
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+15 VI 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. CS
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+15 VII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. CT
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+15 VIII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. CU
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+15 IX 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. CV
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+16 VI 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. CW
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+16 VII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. CX
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+16 VIII 74 ZAACA 386 221 YB F2	ACBCA ACTA CRYST. SECT. CY
68 ACBCA 25 787 SR U O4, BA U O4, CA2 U O5	YB+16 IX 74 ZAACA 38	

compounds to be slightly larger than those of the Eu^{2+} compounds. This difference was assumed to exist for all Sr^{2+} and Eu^{2+} coordinations. Because compounds of Am^{2+} and Sr^{2+} have similar cell volumes, the radius of Am^{2+} was made equal to that of Sr^{2+} .

Wolfe & Newnham (1969) studied $\text{Bi}_{4-x}\text{RE}_x\text{Ti}_3\text{O}_{12}$ and concluded that Bi^{3+} and La^{3+} have nearly equal radii. From a study of BiTaO_4 Sleight & Jones (1975) have concluded that although Bi^{3+} and La^{3+} have essentially equal radii, the size of Bi^{3+} depends on the degree of the $6s^2$ lone-pair character. When BiTaO_4 transforms from a structure where the lone-pair character is dominant to the LaTaO_4 structure, it undergoes a volume reduction. Table 3 shows a comparison of isotypic Bi^{3+} and La^{3+} compounds where the lone-pair character of Bi^{3+} is (1) constrained and (2) dominant. Bi pyrochlores such as $\text{Bi}_2\text{Ru}_2\text{O}_7$, $\text{Bi}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$ and $\text{Bi}_2\text{Pt}_2\text{O}_7$ were omitted from the table because no corresponding La pyrochlore exists, but they have unit-cell volumes close to those of the Sm or Nd pyrochlores and thus have smaller volumes than those of La. When Bi^{3+} is forced into high symmetry, a Bi^{3+} compound has a smaller volume than that of La^{3+} , but when the lone-pair character is dominant, the Bi^{3+} compound is distorted and Bi^{3+} and La^{3+} compounds have approximately equal volumes. This behavior was also noted in the highly symmetric garnet structure where the hypothetical $\text{Bi}_3\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_{12}$ was estimated to have cell dimensions between those of the hypothetical $\text{Nd}_3\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_{12}$ and $\text{Pr}_3\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_{12}$ (Geller, Williams, Espinosa, Sherwood & Gilleo, 1963). For practical purposes, Bi^{3+} is listed as slightly smaller than La^{3+} but this dependence on lone-pair character must be kept in mind when comparing the volumes of Bi^{3+} and La^{3+} compounds. Similar behavior may also exist for Pb^{2+} and Sr^{2+} , but this relationship was not investigated.

Table 3. Cell volumes of isotypic Bi^{3+} and La^{3+} compounds

(a) Lone pair character of Bi^{3+} constrained

Compound	Cell volume	Ratio
$\text{BiLi}(\text{MoO}_4)_2$	314.7	0.96
$\text{LaLi}(\text{MoO}_4)_2$	328.7	
$\text{BiNa}(\text{MoO}_4)_2$	320.5	0.97
$\text{LaNa}(\text{MoO}_4)_2$	332.1	
BiOF	87.6	0.90
LaOF	97.7	
BiOCl	110.7	0.95
LaOCl	116.8	
BiOBr	123.8	0.98
LaOBr	126.4	
BiPO_4	293.0	0.96
LaPO_4	304.7	

(b) Lone pair character of Bi^{3+} dominant

Bi_2MoO_6	268.5 ($\times 8$)	1.00
La_2MoO_6	267.3	
BiFeO_3	62.49 ($\times 6$)	1.03
LaFeO_3	60.77 ($\times 4$)	
$\text{Bi}_2\text{Sn}_2\text{O}_7$	1219.9 ($\times 8$)	1.00
$\text{La}_2\text{Sn}_2\text{O}_7$	1225.3	

A similar study of relative cell volumes of isotypic compounds involving the pairs Cu^+-Li^+ , Ag^+-Na^+ , Tl^+-Rb^+ , and $\text{Pb}^{2+}-\text{Sr}^{2+}$ was used to obtain more reliable estimates of the radii of Cu^+ , Ag^+ , Tl^+ , and Pb^{2+} (Shannon & Gummerman, 1975).

The nature of Sn^{2+} , NH_4^+ , and H^- made it impossible to define their ionic radii. The coordination of Sn^{2+} by oxygen or fluorine is always extremely irregular,* leading to average distances which depend on the degree of distortion. Since this distortion varies widely from one compound to another, it is not meaningful to define an ionic radius.

Khan & Baur (1972) derived an apparent radius of the NH_4^+ ion by analyzing the N-O distances in a large number of ammonium salts. They concluded that NH_4^+ has an octahedral radius of 1.61 Å, between that of Rb^+ (1.52 Å) and Cs^+ (1.67 Å). Alternatively, cell volumes of NH_4^+ and Rb^+ fluorides, chlorides, bromides, iodides and oxides may be compared. This leads to the conclusion that NH_4^+ is not significantly different in size from Rb^+ . No explanation is offered for this inconsistency and therefore the radius of NH_4^+ is not included.

The radius of the hydride ion, H^- , has been the subject of some controversy. A number of different radii have been proposed: 2.08 (Pauling, 1960); 1.40 (Gibb, 1962); and 1.53 Å (Morris & Reed, 1965). Gibb studied interatomic distances in many hydrides and concluded that good agreement between observed and calculated distances could be obtained using $r(\text{VIH}^-) = 1.40$ Å if corrected for cation and anion coordination. The value of $r(\text{IVH}^-)$ was taken to be 1.22 Å.

Morris & Reed (1965) concluded that differences in observed distances in hydrides were caused by the large H^- polarizability. Because of such wide variations in the apparent H^- radius, it was omitted. However, an explanation for the variations based on covalence differences will be discussed later.

* Although cell dimensions of $\text{Sn}_2\text{M}_2\text{O}_7$ pyrochlores were used in SP 69 to derive $r(\text{VIIISn}^{2+})$, Stewart, Knop, Meads & Parker (1973) and Birchall & Sleight (1975) recently found that the pyrochlore A site in $\text{Sn}_2\text{Ta}_2\text{O}_7$ is not fully occupied. Thus, even this example of apparently regular Sn^{2+} polyhedra is not valid.




Fig. 1. Effective ionic radius (Å) vs oxidation state.

Results and discussion

In Table 1 two sets of radii are included. The first is a set of traditional radii based on $r(\text{VI}\text{O}^{2-}) = 1.40 \text{ \AA}$. The

other set is based on $r(\text{VI}\text{O}^{2-}) = 1.26$ and $r(\text{VI}\text{F}^-) = 1.19 \text{ \AA}$, and corresponds to crystal radii as defined by Fumi & Tosi (1964). As pointed out in SP 69, crystal radii differ from traditional radii only by a constant factor





Fig. 2. (a)–(e) Effective ionic radius (Å) vs CN for some common cations.



(e)

Fig. 2. (cont.)

of 0.14 Å. Although their inclusion in Table 1 may seem superfluous, it is felt that crystal radii correspond more closely to the physical size of ions in a solid. They should be used, for example, in discussions of closest packing of spheres, structure field maps (Muller & Roy, 1974), and diffusion in solids (Flygare & Huggins, 1973). Traditional radii have been retained because of their familiarity to crystal chemists and physicists. They will probably continue to be used for comparison of unit-cell volumes and interatomic distances. In the table, the ion is followed by electron configuration (EC), coordination number (CN), spin state (SP), crystal radius (CR), and effective ionic radius (IR), and in the last column, a symbol indicating the derivation of the radii and their reliability. Those with a question mark are doubtful because of: uncertainty in CN, or deviation from radii *vs* CN, or radii *vs* valence plots. Where at least five structural determinations resulted in radii differing by no more than ± 0.01 Å, the values are marked with an asterisk.

When the choice of a radius was influenced by any of the various correlations described earlier, it is indicated by the following: *R* – from r^3 *vs* unit cell volume plots; *C* – calculated from bond length–bond strength equations; *E* – estimated from one or more plots of r *vs* valence, r *vs* CN, and r *vs* cell volume. *E* implies poor or nonexistent structural data. Radii in this category include $^{VI}\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{LS}$, $^{VI}\text{Mn}^{2+}\text{LS}$, $^{VI}\text{Cr}^{2+}\text{LS}$, $^{VI}\text{V}^{2+}$, $^{VI}\text{Ni}^{3+}\text{HS}$, $^{VI}\text{Ir}^{3+}$, $^{VI}\text{Mo}^{3+}$, $^{VI}\text{Ta}^{3+}$, $^{VI}\text{Pa}^{3+}$, $^{VI}\text{Ta}^{4+}$, $^{IV}\text{Pb}^{4+}$, $^{VI}\text{Ir}^{5+}$, $^{VI}\text{Os}^{5+}$, $^{VI}\text{Re}^{5+}$, $^{VI}\text{Pu}^{5+}$, $^{VI}\text{Bi}^{5+}$,

$^{VI}\text{Os}^{6+}$, $^{VI}\text{Re}^{6+}$, and $^{VI}\text{Os}^{7+}$. The symbol *A* means that Ahrens (1952) ionic radius was used whereas *P* means Pauling's (1960) crystal radius was used. The symbol *M* means that the radius was derived from a compound having metallic conductivity. Distances calculated from these radii may be too small for use in compounds having localized electrons. (See discussion *Effects of electron delocalization*.)

In addition, the sources of the radii are indicated in Table 2.

Fig. 2(a)–(e) shows that r –CN plots are reasonably regular. Notable exceptions are $^{IV}\text{Na}^+$, $^{VI}\text{Na}^+$, and $^{IV}\text{K}^+$. It is apparent that Na–O and K–O distances do not decrease as much as anticipated from the r –CN curve* when the CN falls below six. Typical distances and corresponding radii in Table 4 show that Na–O distances in four-coordination are only slightly less than in six-coordination. The reduction in interatomic distances is caused primarily by the decreased repulsive forces due to fewer ligands according to the expression of Pauling (1960):


$$\frac{R_{\text{CsCl}}}{R_{\text{NaCl}}} = \left[\frac{A_{\text{NaCl}}}{A_{\text{CsCl}}} \frac{B_{\text{CsCl}}}{B_{\text{NaCl}}} \right]^{1/(n-1)}$$

where R = interatomic distance, A = Madelung constant, B = the cation CN and n = Born repulsion coefficient. It appears that this equation is not valid for four-coordinated Na^+ or K^+ .

There are a few small irregularities in r –CN plots probably caused by poor or insufficient data, *e.g.* curves for Ti^{3+} *vs* Y^{3+} . The differences in slopes of Ti^{4+} *vs* Cr^{4+} and V^{5+} *vs* As^{5+} are probably caused by Ti^{4+} –O and V^{5+} –O octahedra being generally more distorted, which leads to greater average interatomic distances.

It is also interesting to compare distances in square planar coordination *versus* tetrahedral coordination. Radii of square planar Cu^{2+} and Ag^+ are equal to or slightly greater than corresponding tetrahedral radii, consistent with the trend anticipated from anion

* Extrapolation of the Na curve gives $r(^{IV}\text{Na}^+) = 0.90$ Å.

Fig. 3. Typical bond length *vs* bond strength plot.

repulsion effects. A similar comparison with Fe^{2+} and Ni^{2+} cannot be made because of electron distribution changes from tetrahedral to square planar coordination.




Fig. 4. Mean Nb^{5+} -O bond length *vs* distortion. Vertical bars represent average e.s.d.'s quoted by the authors. Solid circles represent more accurate data.




Fig. 5. Mean Mo^{6+} -O bond length *vs* distortion.

Table 4. *Interatomic distances in some compounds containing tetrahedral and octahedral Na^+*

Compound	$\bar{R}$ (Å)	r (Å)	Reference
(a) IV Na^+			
Na_2O	2.40	1.02	
$\text{Na}_5\text{P}_3\text{O}_{10}$	2.37	0.99	60 ACCRA 13 263
$\text{NaOH} \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$	2.36	1.00	57 ACCRA 10 462
Na_6ZnO_4	2.39	0.99	69 ZAACA409 69
Mean	2.38	1.00	
(b) VI Na^+			
Na_2WO_4	2.38	1.00	74 ACBCA 30 1872
$\text{NaC}_6\text{O}_7\text{H}_7$	2.37	1.01	65 ACCRA 19 561
$\text{Na}_4\text{Sn}_2\text{Ge}_4\text{O}_{12}(\text{OH})_4$	2.39	1.02	70 ACSAA 24 1287
$\text{Na}_2\text{P}_2\text{O}_7 \cdot 10\text{H}_2\text{O}$	2.48	1.10	64 ACCRA 17 672
NaHCO_3	2.44	1.06	65 ACCRA 18 818
$\text{Na}_2\text{B}_4\text{O}_6(\text{OH})_2 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$	2.41	1.04	67 SCIEA 154 1453
$\text{Na}_4\text{P}_4\text{O}_{12} \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$	2.415	1.05	61 ACCRA 14 555
$\text{NaAl}(\text{SO}_4)_2 \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$	2.45	1.10	67 ACCRA 22 182
$\text{NaB}(\text{OH})_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$	2.460	1.09	63 ACCRA 16 1233
NaU acetate	2.375	1.025	59 ACCRA 12 526
$\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{13}\text{N}_5\text{NaO}_6\text{P} \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$	2.406	1.046	75 ACBCA 31 19
Mean	2.42	1.05	

Factors affecting mean interatomic distances

Additivity of radii to give mean interatomic distances is not so important to the synthetic chemist who is primarily interested in ionic radii for predicting substitution in crystal structures. Crystallographers and physicists, however, are concerned with comparing calculated and experimental interatomic distances and predicting distances, *e.g.* for distance least-squares (DLS) structure refinements (Baur, 1972; Tillmanns, Gebert & Baur, 1973; Dempsey & Strens, 1975). The effective ionic radii in Table 1 can be used to reproduce moderately well most average interatomic distances in oxides and fluorides. However, certain deviations do occur. Some of these are unexplained but others can be attributed to (1) polyhedral distortion, (2) covalence, (3) partial occupancy of cation sites, or (4) electron delocalization.

1. Polyhedral distortion

To see the effects of polyhedral distortion consider the relationship between bond length (R) and Pauling bond strength (s) (Brown & Shannon, 1973). The analytical expression $s = s_0(R/R_0)^{-N}$, where s_0 is an ideal bond strength associated with R_0 , and R_0 and N are fitted parameters, was evaluated for cation-oxygen pairs for the first three rows of the periodic table. Using these relationships, the sums of bond strengths about cations and anions were found to equal the valences with a mean deviation of about 5%. Accepting the approximate validity of Pauling's second rule, $p = \sum s$ where p = valence, it is possible to derive the effects of distortion of various polyhedra on their mean bond distances. Fig. 3 shows a typical R - s curve. An undistorted octahedron results in an average bond strength $\bar{s}$ and a mean distance $\bar{R}_1$. A distorted octahedron with three bonds of length R_a and three of length R_b results in the same average bond strength, $\bar{s}$, but a mean distance $\bar{R}_2 > \bar{R}_1$.

The effects of distortion on mean bond lengths in numerous polyhedra have been determined. Although distortions in tetrahedra are not as important as in octahedra, they can contribute to variations in mean tetrahedral distances (Baur, 1974; Hawthorne, 1973). Strongly distorted octahedra like those containing V^{5+} , Cu^{2+} , and Mn^{3+} show a significant variation in mean distance with distortion, Δ^* (Brown & Shannon, 1973; Shannon & Calvo, 1973a; Shannon, Gumerman & Chenavas, 1975). Octahedra containing Mg^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Co^{2+} , and Li^+ are generally less distorted than those of V^{5+} , Cu^{2+} , and Mn^{3+} and show a less pronounced dependence on mean bond length (Brown & Shannon, 1973).

The effects of distortion on mean bond lengths in Nb^{5+} -O and Mo^{6+} -O octahedra are illustrated in Figs. 4 and 5. Tables 5 and 6 list the data used to derive the figures.

Table 7 lists the results of linear regression analyses of mean bond length on distortion for all octahedra studied. It is clear from Fig. 4 that undistorted Nb^{5+} octahedra in pyrochlores have a distinctly smaller mean value than in compounds like $NbOPO_4$, $CaNb_2O_6$, and Na_3NbO_4 . Most of the accurately refined molybdates have relatively distorted octahedra. However, certain ordered perovskites with no octahedral distortion such as Ba_2CaMoO_6 would be expected to have much smaller mean Mo^{6+} -O distances than a typical molyb-

date. In fact, the Mo^{6+} -O octahedra in $Mo_2(O_2C_6Cl_4)_6$ with a very small distortion have the short mean distance of 1.919 Å.

Table 7 also lists the results of regression analyses for Ta^{5+} -O and W^{6+} -O octahedra but they are only approximate because of the scarcity of accurate structural data. Analysis of Ti^{4+} -O octahedra was unsuccessful because of scatter in the data. Distances in $Ba_6Ti_{11}O_{40}$ (Tillmanns & Baur, 1970) and $BaTiO_3$ (Evans, 1951) deviated significantly from a linear relation.

Relations between mean distance and distortion should be particularly useful to help determine oxidation states in mixed valence compounds with such combinations as Mo^{5+} - Mo^{6+} , W^{5+} - W^{6+} , V^{4+} - V^{5+} , Nb^{4+} - Nb^{5+} and Mn^{3+} - Mn^{4+} . Such considerations helped rationalize Mn-O distances in $NaMn_7O_{12}$ and the mineral pinakolite (Shannon, Gumerman & Chenavas, 1975).

The radii in Table 1 are generally derived for an average degree of distortion. Thus, interatomic distances calculated from these radii may be inaccurate if the distortion in a particular compound is much less or greater than usual. This applies particularly to cations whose polyhedra frequently show a large distortion, e.g. Mo^{6+} , Nb^{5+} , V^{5+} , Ba^{2+} , and the alkali ions.

2. Effects of partial occupancy of cation sites on mean cation-anion distances

In compounds with partially occupied sites, abnormally large cation-anion distances are usually found, as expected if the anions surrounding unoc-

* Octahedral distortion is defined by $\Delta = \frac{1}{6} \sum (R_i - \bar{R})^2$ where $\bar{R}$ = average bond length and R_i = an individual bond length.

Table 5. Comparison of mean octahedral Nb^{5+} -O distances with distortion

Only structures with e.s.d.'s for Nb-O distances of <0.025 Å were used.

Compound	$\bar{R}$ (Å)	Distortion $\Delta = \langle (\Delta R/R)^2 \rangle \times 10^4$	Reference		
Hg ₂ Nb ₂ O ₇	1.999	0	68 INOCA	7	1704
Cd ₂ Nb ₂ O ₇	1.957	0	72 CJCHA	50	3648
Na ₂ Nb ₄ O ₁₁	1.977	1	70 JSSCB	1	454
Ba _{0.27} Sr _{0.73} Nb ₂ O _{5.78}	1.967	6	61 JCPSA	48	5048
Na ₁₃ Nb ₃₅ O ₉₄	1.965	7	71 JSSCB	3	89
Ba ₃ Si ₄ Nb ₆ O ₂₆	1.989	9	70 ACBCA	26	102
Na ₁₃ Nb ₃₅ O ₉₄	1.967	11	71 JSSCB	3	89
Na ₁₃ Nb ₃₅ O ₉₄	1.959	12	71 JSSCB	3	89
Na ₁₃ Nb ₃₅ O ₉₄	1.964	12	71 JSSCB	3	89
NaNbO ₃	1.985	16	69 ACBCA	25	851
Na ₁₃ Nb ₃₅ O ₉₄	1.947	18	71 JSSCB	3	89
Na ₁₃ Nb ₃₅ O ₉₄	1.991	22	71 JSSCB	3	89
Na ₁₃ Nb ₃₅ O ₉₄	1.987	22	71 JSSCB	3	89
Na ₁₃ Nb ₃₅ O ₉₄	1.978	24	71 JSSCB	3	89
LiNb ₃ O ₈	1.993	28	71 ACSAA	25	3337
LiNbO ₃	2.000	31	66 JPCSA	27	997
Ca ₂ Nb ₂ O ₇	1.997	31	74 JINCA	36	1965
Ca ₂ Nb ₂ O ₇	2.005	34	74 JINCA	36	1965
SbNbO ₄	2.003	37	65 CCJDA	1965	611
KNbO ₃	2.011	42	67 ACACA	22	639
Na ₃ NbO ₄	2.013	52	74 BUFCA	97	3
Ca ₂ Nb ₂ O ₇	2.010	53	74 JINCA	36	1965
Ca ₂ Nb ₂ O ₇	2.015	58	74 JINCA	36	1965
Na ₃ NbO ₄	2.021	60	74 BUFCA	97	3
CaNb ₂ O ₆	2.021	76	70 AMMIA	55	90
GaNbO ₄	2.031	83	65 ACACA	18	874

Table 6. Comparison of mean octahedral Mo^{6+} -O distances with distortionOnly structures with e.s.d.'s for Mo-O distances of <0.025 Å were used.

Compound	$\bar{R}$ (Å)	Distortion		Reference	
		$\Delta = \langle (\Delta R/R)^2 \rangle \times 10^4$			
$\text{Mo}_2(\text{O}_2\text{C}_6\text{Cl}_4)_6$	1.919	5	75 JACSA	97	2123
Mo_4O_{11} orthorhombic	1.944	9	63 ARKEA	21	365
Mo_4O_{11} monoclinic	1.946	10	63 ARKEA	21	365
Mo_4O_{11} monoclinic	1.937	56	63 ARKEA	21	365
Mo_4O_{11} orthorhombic	1.951	67	63 ARKEA	21	365
Mo_4O_{11} orthorhombic	1.911	96	63 ARKEA	21	365
Mo_4O_{11} monoclinic	1.945	96	63 ARKEA	21	365
$(\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{11}\text{O}_2)_2\text{MoO}_2$	1.952	99	74 ACBCA	30	300
$(\text{NH}_4)_6[\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}] \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.962	99	75 JCSIA	1975	505
$(\text{NH}_4)_6[\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}] \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.972	101	75 JCSIA	1975	505
$(\text{NH}_4)_6[\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}] \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.960	104	75 JCSIA	1975	505
$\text{LiMoO}_2\text{AsO}_4$	1.967	104	70 ACSAA	24	3711
$(\text{NH}_4)_6\text{Mo}_8\text{O}_{27} \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.960	106	74 ACBCA	30	48
HgMoO_4	1.965	111	73 ACBCA	29	869
$(\text{NH}_4)_6[\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}] \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.955	113	75 JCSIA	1975	505
$(\text{NH}_4)_6[\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}] \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.962	115	75 JCSIA	1975	505
$(\text{NH}_4)_6[\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}] \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.974	118	68 JACSA	90	3275
$\text{MoO}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.966	121	72 ACBCA	28	2222
$\text{MoO}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.961	123	72 ACBCA	28	2222
$\text{MoO}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.957	126	72 ACBCA	28	2222
$\text{MoO}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.953	134	72 ACBCA	28	2222
$(\text{NH}_4)_5[\text{MoO}_3]_5(\text{PO}_4)(\text{HPO}_4) \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.970	140	74 JCSIA	1974	941
$\text{Na}_3(\text{CrMo}_6\text{O}_{24}\text{H}_6) \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.976	141	70 INOCA	9	2228
$(\text{NH}_4)_6\text{Mo}_8\text{O}_{27} \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.976	141	74 ACBCA	30	48
$\text{Na}_3\text{CrMo}_6\text{O}_{24}\text{H}_6 \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.976	143	70 INOCA	9	2228
$(\text{NH}_4)_5[(\text{MoO}_3)_5(\text{PO}_4)(\text{HPO}_4)] \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.974	145	74 JCSIA	1974	941
$(\text{NH}_4)_6[\text{TeMo}_6\text{O}_{24}] \cdot \text{Te}(\text{OH})_6 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.981	147	74 ACBCA	30	2095
CoMoO_4	1.991	150	65 ACACA	19	269
$(\text{NH}_4)_6\text{Mo}_8\text{O}_{27} \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.972	151	74 ACBCA	30	48
MoO_3	1.981	151	63 ARKEA	21	357
$(\text{NH}_4)_6[\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}] \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.976	152	68 JACSA	90	3275
$\text{K}_2\{[\text{MoO}_2(\text{C}_2\text{O}_4)(\text{H}_2\text{O})]_2\text{O}\}$	1.976	152	64 INOCA	3	1603
$(\text{NH}_4)_6\text{Mo}_8\text{O}_{27} \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.974	152	74 ACBCA	30	48
$(\text{NH}_4)_5[(\text{MoO}_3)_5(\text{PO}_4)(\text{HPO}_4)] \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.982	159	74 JCSIA	1974	941
$\text{Na}_3\text{CrMo}_6\text{O}_{24}\text{H}_6 \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.986	163	70 INOCA	9	2228
$(\text{NH}_4)_5[(\text{MoO}_3)_5(\text{PO}_4)(\text{HPO}_4)] \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.977	167	74 JCSIA	1974	941
$\text{MoO}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.984	167	74 ACBCA	30	1795
$(\text{NH}_4)_5[(\text{MoO}_3)_5(\text{PO}_4)(\text{HPO}_4)] \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.991	186	74 JCSIA	1974	941
$(\text{NH}_4)_6[\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}] \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.991	189	75 JCSIA	1975	505
$(\text{NH}_4)_6[\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}] \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$	2.008	197	75 JCSIA	1975	505

Table 7. Variation of mean M-O distance and effective ionic radius in octahedral environments as a function of distortion

Ion	Maximum $\Delta \times 10^4$	N^*	$R_0^\dagger$	$r_0^\ddagger$	m	Correlation coefficient	Goodness of fit ($\times 10^3$)
Mo^{6+}	212	38	1.920		3.73	0.74	67
				0.572	3.01	0.63	70
W^{6+}	122	7	1.925		3.30	0.75	19
				0.565	3.28	0.66	24
V^{5+}	576	16	1.887		2.62	0.98	8
Nb^{5+}	83	29	1.976		6.45	0.69	71
				0.599	6.83	0.44	99
Ta^{5+}	79	6	1.984		6.70	0.81	18
				0.617	3.79	0.15	46
Mn^{3+}	71	15	1.994		7.08	0.82	30
				0.624	6.15	0.54	50
Cu^{2+}	316	26	2.085		3.99	0.82	77
Mg^{2+}	156	28	2.094		8.31	0.72	21
				0.728	8.86	0.77	18
Co^{2+}	46	15	2.106		7.38	0.42	19
				0.734	11.70	0.70	16
Zn^{2+}	71	16	2.099		7.70	0.64	21
				0.736	8.20	0.74	16
Li^+	148	11	2.159		8.42	0.81	30
				0.784	9.02	0.79	35

* N = number of independent octahedra $^\dagger R = R_0 + m\Delta$. $^\ddagger r = r_0 + m\Delta$.

cupied sites relax toward their bonded cation neighbors. Therefore average distances should increase as the occupancy factor decreases. In general, partial occupancy seems to be more prevalent for cations which are weakly bonded to oxygen like Cu^+ , Ag^+ , alkali ions, and large alkaline earths. The most prominent examples are Li and Na compounds. Table 8 summarizes the existent data on some structures with partial cation occupancy. Fig. 6 shows the dependence of mean Li–O bond length on the degree of occupancy. Although the data are not extensive, it is apparent that mean distance increases as occupancy factor decreases. Extrapolation of the Li curve in Fig. 6 to zero occupancy, *i.e.* a tetrahedral Li vacancy, gives 2.10–2.15 Å, which is close to the 2.11 Å found for $\alpha\text{-Li}_5\text{GaO}_4$ by Stewner & Hoppe (1971) and for β eucryptite by Tscherry, Schulz & Laves (1972).

Another example of the effects of partial occupancy can be found in the non-stoichiometric feldspar $\text{Sr}_{0.84}\text{Na}_{0.03}\text{Al}_{1.69}\text{Si}_{2.29}\text{O}_8$ reported by Grundy & Ito (1974). The mean Sr–O distance in this compound is 0.03 Å greater than in the stoichiometric $\text{SrAl}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_8$ (Chiari, Calleri, Bruno & Ribbe, 1975).

The relation between mean distance and occupancy probably cannot be quantified precisely because the relaxation of oxygen ions will depend on the nature and number of other cation neighbors.

3. Effects of covalence

Changes in interatomic distances due to covalence effects are anticipated in compounds with (1) anions less electronegative than fluorine or oxygen, *i.e.* chlor-

ides, bromides, sulfides, selenides, *etc.* and (2) tetrahedral oxyanions such as the VO_4^{3-} and AsO_4^{3-} groups. The effects of covalence show up as a lack of additivity of the radii and are generally referred to as 'covalent shortening'.

(a) *Halides and chalcogenides.* Covalence effects can be observed by comparing the relative contraction of cation–anion distances in two different isotypic compounds as the anion becomes less electronegative, *e.g.* Fe^{2+} in Fe_2GeO_4 and Fe_2GeS_4 vs Mg^{2+} in Mg_2GeO_4 and Mg_2GeS_4 . Covalence shortens both Fe–S and Mg–S bonds relative to Fe–O and Mg–O bonds, but because of the greater electronegativity of Fe^{2+} (1.8) compared to Mg^{2+} (1.2), the Fe–S bonds are shortened to a greater extent. Thus a 'covalency contraction' parameter (Shannon & Vincent, 1974) can be defined:

$$R_d = \frac{d(\text{Fe-X})^3}{d(\text{Mg-X})^3}$$

where $d(\text{Fe-X})$ = mean Fe–X distance.




A similar parameter

$$R_v = \frac{V(\text{Fe}_m\text{X}_n)}{V(\text{Mg}_m\text{X}_n)}$$

compares the volume of an Fe^{2+} compound with that of an isotypic Mg^{2+} compound. To see the effects of covalence on the Fe–X distance relative to the Mg–X distance, the ratio R_v or R_d may be plotted against the difference in electronegativity of the Fe–X bond, $\Delta\chi_{\text{Fe-X}}$. Such schematic R_v – $\Delta\chi$ plots are shown in Fig. 7. The reference ions for Cd^{2+} and In^{3+} are Ca^{2+} and Sc^{3+} respectively. Such plots usually show a strong

Table 8. Mean distances in structures with partially occupied cation sites

Compound	Occupancy factor	$\bar{R}$	Reference		
(a) ${}^{\text{IV}}\text{Li}^+$					
Typical	1.00	1.97	Table 1		
LiAlSiO_4 (β eucryptite)	1.00	2.020 (4)	73 AMMIA	58	681
		2.025 (7)	72 ZKKKA	135	175
$\text{LiAlSi}_2\text{O}_6$ II (β spodumene)	0.50	2.08 (4)	68 ZKKKA	126	46
		2.085 (9)	69 ZKKKA	130	420
LiAlSiO_4 (β eucryptite)	0.50	2.056 (2)	72 ZKKKA	135	161
$\text{Li}_2\text{Al}_2\text{Si}_3\text{O}_{10}$	0.40	2.064 (4)	70 ZKKKA	132	118
$\text{LiAlSi}_2\text{O}_6$ III	0.33	2.068 (5)	68 ZKKKA	127	327
$\alpha\text{-Li}_5\text{GaO}_4$	0.00	2.11	71 ACBCA	27	616
LiAlSiO_4	0.00	2.11	72 ZKKKA	135	175
(b) ${}^{\text{VI}}\text{Na}^+$					
Typical	1.00	2.42	Table 1		
$\text{Na}_2\text{Fe}_2\text{Al}(\text{PO}_4)_3$ (wylieite)	0.91	2.533 (6)	74 AMMIA	59	280
NaSbO_3	0.82	2.74	74 JSSCB	9	345
$\text{Na}_2\text{Fe}_2\text{Al}(\text{PO}_4)_3$ (wylieite)	0.70	2.723 (6)	74 AMMIA	59	280
$\text{NaAlSi}_3\text{O}_8$ (high albite)	0.50	2.600 (9)	69 ACBCA	25	1503
$\text{NaAl}_{11}\text{O}_{17}$ ($\beta\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$)	0.35	2.839 (1)	68 ZKKKA	127	94
NaSbO_3	0.29	2.65	74 JSSCB	9	345
$\text{Na}_{2.58}\text{Al}_{21.81}\text{O}_{34}$ ($\beta\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$)	0.25	2.88	71 ACBCA	27	1826
(c) ${}^{\text{VI}}\text{Ag}^+$					
Typical	1.00	2.50	Table 1		
AgSbO_3	0.44	2.64	74 JSSCB	9	345
AgSbO_3	0.33	2.75	74 JSSCB	9	345
$\text{Ag}_{2.4}\text{Al}_{22}\text{O}_{34.2}$	0.22	2.83	72 JSSCB	4	60

Fig. 6. Mean $\text{Li}^+\text{-O}$ bond length vs partial occupancy.Fig. 7. Covalency contraction parameter, R_v or R_d , vs $\Delta\chi$ for filled and unfilled d shell cations.Fig. 8. Covalency contraction parameter, R_v or R_d , vs $\Delta\chi$ for hydrides. Solid circles represent ratios of cell volumes of isotopic compounds. Squares represent ratios of the cubed M-H distances to the cubed M-F distances.

dependence of R_v on $\Delta\chi$. For $\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{-Mg}^{2+}$ the Fe^{2+} fluoride volumes are $\sim 110\%$ of the corresponding Mg^{2+} fluoride volumes whereas the Fe^{2+} sulfide volumes are $\sim 96\%$ of the corresponding Mg^{2+} sulfide volumes. Plots for the cations with filled 'd' shells show a markedly smaller dependence on $\Delta\chi$. This appears to be due to the difference in covalence of hybrid orbitals formed from metal 'd' orbitals vs metal 's-p' orbitals.

These relations show that effective ionic radii derived primarily from oxides are not strictly applicable to fluorides – note the change in R_v for Fe^{2+} , Co^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , and Mn^{2+} from fluorides to oxides. This effect is particularly noticeable in $R_v\text{-}\Delta\chi$ plots for the pairs $\text{Cu}^+\text{-Li}^+$ and $\text{Ag}^+\text{-Na}^+$ (Shannon & Gurneman, 1975). The $\text{Cu}^+\text{-Li}^+$ and $\text{Ag}^+\text{-Na}^+$ plots are very steep, e.g. the volume of AgF is 120% of the volume of NaF , whereas the volume of Ag_2Se is only 72% of the volume of Na_2Se . Although most of this change arises from covalency, double repulsion effects present in the Li and Na halides described by Pauling (1960) may also play a role.

Covalence effects are useful in explaining certain differences between the effective ionic radii of Table 1 and the ionic radii of Pauling (1927) and Ahrens (1952). Pauling's radii for Cu^+ (0.96 Å) and Ag^+ (1.26 Å) are considerably larger than those in Table 1 (0.77 and 1.15 Å respectively). Since these radii were derived from comparison of alkali halide distances, using an equation relating effective nuclear charge and screening constants (Pauling, 1927), they are valid in primarily ionic crystals. The smaller radii in Table 1 are applicable in the more covalent oxides. Extrapolation of R vs $\Delta\chi$ curves such as in Fig. 7 leads to values of 0.91 Å and 1.23 Å for fluorides, which are close to Pauling's ionic values.

A final example of covalence effects concerns $\text{M}^+\text{-H}^-$ distances. According to Gibb (1962), the radius of the hydride ion is slightly larger than the radius of the fluoride ion. To rationalize the behavior of the hydride ion, the M-H bond has been treated as covalent. Therefore, it is useful to make R_v vs $\Delta\chi$ plots similar to those just discussed for Fe^{2+} , Cu^+ , etc. In this case, the reference ion is F^- and volumes of certain hydrides are compared to those of isotopic fluorides. The results of this analysis are shown in Fig. 8. The solid circles represent volume ratios, $R_v = V(\text{M}_m\text{H}_n)/V(\text{M}_m\text{F}_n)$; open squares represent ratios of typical distances $R_d = d(\text{M-H})^3/d(\text{M-F})^3$. In the more ionic hydrides of Cs, Rb, K, and Na, hydride volumes are considerably larger than those of the fluorides. For the Li and Mg compounds, hydride and fluoride volumes are approximately equal, whereas the more covalent hydrides have increasingly smaller relative volumes than the corresponding fluorides. Fig. 8 partly explains the differences in reported radii. The Morris & Reed (1965) value of 1.53 Å was derived essentially from the large alkali halides, while Gibb's value of 1.40 Å was derived primarily from hydrides of the more electronegative

metals such as: Sc, Ti, Y, Zr, Hf, Nb, Ta, and Th. Because of this strong dependence of M–H distances on cation electronegativity, it does not seem very useful to quote a unique radius for H^- .

(b) *Tetrahedral oxyanions.* Lack of additivity also appears in most small tetrahedral groups and is particularly noticeable for the ions $^{IV}B^{3+}$, $^{IV}Fe^{3+}$, $^{IV}Ge^{4+}$, $^{IV}As^{5+}$, $^{IV}V^{5+}$, $^{IV}S^{6+}$, $^{IV}Se^{6+}$, and $^{IV}Cl^{7+}$. The deviations in vanadates have been studied in detail (Shannon & Calvo, 1973b). Assuming that the V–O bond is strongly covalent, and that relatively electronegative cations such as Cu^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , and Co^{2+} tend to remove electron density from the V–O bond, a V–O bond length increase in Cu, Ni, and Co vanadates is anticipated. Plots of mean radii ($\bar{r}$) *vs* mean cation electronegativity ($\bar{\chi}$) show a marked slope with a gradual increase in $\bar{r}(^{IV}V^{5+})$ from vanadates of the alkali and alkaline earth ions to those of Cu, Ni, and Co. Similar plots for other ions, P^{5+} , As^{5+} (Shannon & Calvo, 1973b), B^{3+} , Si^{4+} , Se^{6+} (Shannon, 1975), showed the same behavior. The statistical data on the tetrahedra of B^{3+} , Si^{4+} , Ge^{4+} , P^{5+} , As^{5+} , S^{6+} , Se^{6+} , Cr^{6+} , Mo^{6+} , W^{6+} , and Cl^{7+} have been summarized by Shannon (1975). The slopes of the $\bar{r}$ *vs* $\bar{\chi}$ plots were greatest for V^{5+} , Se^{6+} , and Cl^{7+} , and least for Si^{4+} . Although the evidence for covalence as the origin of these effects in the above systems is only indirect, this behavior is consistent with accepted ideas of ‘covalent shortening’ of bonds.

The evidence for covalent shortening of $^{IV}Fe^{3+}$ –O bonds is more direct. Jeitschko, Sleight, McClellan & Weiher (1976) have found a good correlation between (1) the Fe Mössbauer isomer shift and mean Fe–O distance and (2) $\bar{\chi}$ and mean Fe–O distance ($\bar{R}$). Thus, in β - $NaFeO_2$ $\bar{R}=1.86$ Å and $\delta=0.18$ mm s^{-1} relative to α Fe whereas in $Bi_3(FeO_4)(MoO_4)_2$ $\bar{R}=1.909$ Å and $\delta=0.282$ mm s^{-1} .

4. Effects of electron delocalization

At a pressure of 6.5 kbar SmS (NaCl structure) undergoes a semiconductor to metal transition and a reduction in cell edge from 5.97 to 5.70 Å (Jayaraman, Narayanamurti, Bucher & Maines, 1970). The reduction in cell volume was attributed to a partial conversion of Sm^{2+} to Sm^{3+} ; some of the electrons presumably go into a conduction band.

Electron delocalization effects can also be seen by comparing the volumes of the conducting V sulfides VS, V_7S_8 , V_3S_4 and V_5S_8 with the corresponding Cr sulfides which have localized ‘*d*’ electrons (de Vries & Jellinek, 1974). The V compounds have volumes ~5% smaller than the corresponding chromium compounds. This does not agree with the relative sizes of V and Cr in oxides and fluorides, *e.g.* $r(^{VI}V^{3+})=0.64$ and $r(^{VI}Cr^{3+})=0.615$ Å. For the sulfides, this unit-cell volume anomaly is not simply attributable to metallic *vs* semiconducting behavior. While Cr_3S_4 , Cr_5S_6 , and Cr_7S_8 show a positive temperature dependence of resistivity typical of a metal, magnetic susceptibility

measurements indicate Curie–Weiss behavior and therefore nearly localized electrons (van Bruggen, 1969). This is in contrast to the Pauli paramagnetic behavior of the corresponding V sulfides (de Vries & Haas, 1973) characteristic of delocalized electrons. Thus, in SmS and the sulfides of V metallic character accompanied by electron delocalization appears to be associated with reduced bond distances.

A further example of delocalization effects occurs in the compound $NaVS_2$ (Weigers, van der Meer, van Heinigen, Kloosterboer & Alberink, 1974). The molecular volume of Pauli paramagnetic $NaVS_2$ I (67.9 Å³) is significantly less than that of $NaVS_2$ II (72.7 Å³). $NaVS_2$ II is characterized by localized electrons (Jellinek, 1975) and its molecular volume is consistent with that of isotypic $NaCrS_2$ (71.1 Å³).

If electron delocalization in oxides results in reduced metal–oxygen distances and thereby an effective increase in valence, radii derived for the ions Mo^{4+} , Tc^{4+} , Ru^{4+} , Rh^{4+} , W^{4+} , Re^{4+} , Os^{4+} , and Ir^{5+} from metallic oxides may not be reliable when applied to insulating oxides. Thus, radii obtained from distances in the metallic phases, *e.g.* RhO_2 , ReO_2 , and $Cd_2Ir_2O_7$, will be smaller than radii obtained from semiconducting or insulating compounds.* When both types of compounds have been studied, a significant difference in distances is generally found. The mean octahedral Re^{4+} –O distance in insulating $K_4[Re_2O_2(C_2O_4)_4] \cdot 3H_2O$ (Lis, 1975) of 2.021 (10) Å ($r=0.671$ Å) is greater than the estimated mean distance in metallic ReO_2 of 1.99 Å ($r=0.63$ Å). Knop & Carlow’s (1974) value of $r=0.662$ Å derived from cell volumes of the insulating Cs_2ReF_6 phases is consistent with the radius of Re^{4+} from $K_4[Re_2O_2(C_2O_4)_4] \cdot 3H_2O$. The Re^{5+} –O distance in $Nd_4Re_2O_{11}$ (Wilhelmi, Lagervall & Muller, 1970) of 1.987 (12) Å ($r=0.607$ Å) is significantly greater than the distance in metallic $Cd_2Re_2O_7$ (Sleight, 1975) of 1.93 (2) Å ($r=0.55$ Å). The radii of 0.58 Å derived from XeF_2RuF_6 and 0.60 Å from $XeFRuF_6$ (Bartlett, Gennis, Gibler, Morrell & Zalkin, 1973) are greater than the radius of 0.565 Å derived from the r^3 – V plot for metallic $Cd_2Ru_2O_7$. In contrast, however, the Mo^{4+} radius of 0.64 Å derived from insulating Li_2MoF_6 (Brunton, 1971) is not greatly different from the radius of 0.65 Å derived from metallic MoO_2 (Brandt & Skapski, 1967).

Although there appears to be ample evidence to show that M–O bond distances in compounds with localized electrons are greater than M–O distances in compounds with delocalized electrons, the data are not yet sufficient to derive a reliable set of radii for semiconducting compounds containing Mo^{4+} , Tc^{4+} , Ru^{4+} , Rh^{4+} , W^{4+} , Re^{4+} , Os^{4+} , and Ir^{5+} . This will become possible as additional accurate structure refinements of fluorides, molecular inorganic compounds, and semiconducting oxides containing these ions become available.

* This assumes that metallic character can be equated with delocalized electron behavior in these compounds.

I would like to acknowledge the help of F. Jellinek for providing unpublished data on NaVS_2 , F. C. Hawthorne for pointing out numerous structures containing partially occupied cation sites, O. Muller for several sources of radii of unusual ions, M. Fouassier for unpublished data on K_4MO_4 compounds, I. D. Brown for unpublished bond length-bond strength curves, and P. S. Guman for assistance with data collection. Structure data on rare earth halides and an analysis of the radii of divalent rare earths provided by H. Bärnighausen were especially valuable. I am particularly indebted to Ruth Shannon for the tabulation of data and proof reading. Finally, I would like to thank R. J. Bouchard, W. H. Baur, and H. Bärnighausen for critically reviewing the manuscript prior to publication.

References

- AHRENS, L. H. (1952). *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, **2**, 155-169.
- BARTLETT, N., GENNIS, M., GIBLER, D., MORRELL, B. & ZALKIN, A. (1973). *Inorg. Chem.* **12**, 1717-1721.
- BAUR, W. H. (1972). *Amer. Min.* **57**, 709-731.
- BAUR, W. H. (1974). *Acta Cryst.* **B30**, 1195-1215.
- BIRCHALL, T. & SLEIGHT, A. W. (1975). *J. Solid State Chem.* **13**, 118-130.
- BONAMICO, M., DESSY, G., FARES, V. & SCARAMUZZA, L. (1974). *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton*, pp. 1258-1263.
- BRANDT, B. G. & SKAPSKI, A. C. (1967). *Acta Chem. Scand.* **21**, 661-667.
- BROWN, I. D. (1975). Private communication.
- BROWN, I. D. & SHANNON, R. D. (1973). *Acta Cryst.* **A29**, 266-282.
- BRUGGEN, C. F. VAN (1969). Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Groningen.
- BRUNTON, G. (1971). *Mater. Res. Bull.* **6**, 555-560.
- CHIARI, G., CALLERI, M., BRUNO, E. & RIBBE, P. H. (1975). *Amer. Min.* **60**, 111-119.
- Codens for Periodical Titles* (1966). Vol. II. ASTM Data series DS23A, Philadelphia.
- DEMPSEY, M. J. & STRENS, R. G. J. (1975). Proc. NATO Conf. on Petrophysics, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, April 22-26.
- EVANS, H. T. JR (1951). *Acta Cryst.* **4**, 377.
- FLYGARE, W. H. & HUGGINS, R. A. (1973). *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, **34**, 1199-1204.
- FUKUNAGA, O. & FUJITA, T. (1973). *J. Solid State Chem.* **8**, 331-338.
- FUMI, F. G. & TOSI, M. P. (1964). *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, **25**, 31-43.
- GELLER, S., WILLIAMS, H. J., ESPINOSA, G. P., SHERWOOD, R. C. & GILLO, M. A. (1963). *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **3**, 21-22.
- GIBB, T. R. P. (1962). *Prog. Inorg. Chem.* **3**, 315-509.
- GREIS, O. & PETZEL, T. (1974). *Z. anorg. allgem. Chem.* **403**, 1-22.
- GRUNDY, H. D. & ITO, J. (1974). *Amer. Min.* **59**, 1319-1326.
- HAWTHORNE, F. C. (1973). *The Crystal Chemistry of the Clino-Amphiboles*, Ph.D. Thesis, McMaster Univ.
- JAYARAMAN, A., NARAYANAMURTI, V., BUCHER, E. & MAINES, R. G. (1970). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **25**, 1430-1433.
- JEITSCHKO, W., SLEIGHT, A. W., MCCLELLAN, W. R. & WEIHER, J. F. (1976). *Acta Cryst.* **B32**, 1163-1170.
- JELLINEK, F. (1975). Private communication.
- KÁLMÁN, A. (1971). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 1857-1859.
- KHAN, A. A. & BAUR, W. (1972). *Acta Cryst.* **B28**, 683-693.
- KNOP, O. & CARLOW, J. S. (1974). *Canad. J. Chem.* **52**, 2175-2183.
- LIS, T. (1975). *Acta Cryst.* **B31**, 1594-1597.
- MCCARTHY, G. J. (1971). *Mater. Res. Bull.* **6**, 31-40.
- MORRIS, D. F. C. & REED, G. L. (1965). *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* **27**, 1715-1717.
- MULLER, O. & ROY, R. (1974). *Crystal Chemistry of Non-Metallic Materials. 4. The Major Ternary Structural Families*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- PAULING, L. (1927). *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **49**, 765-794.
- PAULING, L. (1960). *The Nature of the Chemical Bond*. Ithaca: Cornell Univ. Press.
- PETERSON, J. R. & CUNNINGHAM, B. B. (1967). *Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett.* **3**, 327-336.
- PETERSON, J. R. & CUNNINGHAM, B. B. (1968). *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* **30**, 1775-1781.
- RIBBE, P. & GIBBS, G. V. (1971). *Amer. Min.* **56**, 1155-1173.
- SHANNON, R. D. (1975). Proc. NATO Conf. on Petrophysics, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, April 22-26.
- SHANNON, R. D. & CALVO, C. (1973a). *Acta Cryst.* **B29**, 1338-1345.
- SHANNON, R. D. & CALVO, C. (1973b). *J. Solid State Chem.* **6**, 538-549.
- SHANNON, R. D. & GUMERMAN, P. S. (1975). *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* **38**, 699-703.
- SHANNON, R. D., GUMERMAN, P. S. & CHENAVAS, J. (1975). *Amer. Min.* **60**, 714-716.
- SHANNON, R. D. & PREWITT, C. T. (1969). *Acta Cryst.* **B25**, 925-945.
- SHANNON, R. D. & VINCENT, H. (1974). *Struct. Bond. (Berlin)*, **19**, 1-43.
- SILVA, R. J., MCDOWELL, W. J., KELLER, O. L. & TARRANT, J. R. (1974). *Inorg. Chem.* **13**, 2233-2237.
- SLEIGHT, A. W. (1975). Private communication.
- SLEIGHT, A. W. & JONES, G. (1975). *Acta Cryst.* **B31**, 2748-2749.
- STEWART, D., KNOP, O., MEADS, R. & PARKER, W. (1973). *Canad. J. Chem.* **51**, 1041-1049.
- STEWNER, F. & HOPPE, R. (1971). *Acta Cryst.* **B27**, 616-621.
- TILLMANN, E. & BAUR, W. H. (1970). *Acta Cryst.* **B26**, 1645-1654.
- TILLMANN, E., GEBERT, W. & BAUR, W. H. (1973). *J. Solid State Chem.* **7**, 69-84.
- TSCHERRY, V., SCHULZ, H., & LAVES, F. (1972). *Z. Kristallogr.* **135**, 175-198.
- VRIES, A. B. DE & HAAS, C. (1973). *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, **34**, 651-659.
- VRIES, A. B. DE & JELLINEK, F. (1974). *Rev. Chim. Miner.* **11**, 624-636.
- WEIGERS, G., VAN DER MEER, R., VAN HEININGEN, H., KLOOSTERBOER, H. & ALBERINK, A. (1974). *Mater. Res. Bull.* **9**, 1261-1266.
- WILHELM, K., LAGERVALL, E. & MULLER, O. (1970). *Acta Chem. Scand.* **24**, 3406-3408.
- WOLFE, R. W. & NEWNHAM, R. E. (1969). *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **116**, 832-835.